

Office of the Attorney General
Leevin Taitano Camacho
Attorney General of Guam
Solicitor Division
590 S. Marine Corps Drive
ITC Bldg., Ste. 802
Tamuning, Guam 96913 ● USA
Tel. (671) 475-3324 Fax. (671) 472-2493
www.guamag.org
Attorneys for the Government of Guam

RECEIVED
OFFICE OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTABILITY
PROCUREMENT APPEALS

TIME: 9'AT CIAM CYEM BY: CHIM

IN THE OFFICE OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTABILITY PROCUREMENT APPEAL

IN THE APPEAL OF:) DOCKET NO. OPA-PA-20-002
GUAM MEDICAL REFERRAL SERVICE) (Si)
Appellant, vs.) GENERAL SERVICES AGENCY) LIST OF WITNESSES,) EXHIBITS, AND ISSUES
GENERAL SERVICES AGENCY,)
Purchasing Agency.))

COMES NOW, the Purchasing Agency, General Services Agency, ("GSA"), by and through its undersigned counsel, and herein files its List of Witnesses, Exhibits, and Issues in the above-captioned matter.

WITNESSES:

1. Attorney Robert Kono (GSA)

Page 1 of 2 In the Appeal of: Guam Medical Referral Services vs. GSA GSA List of Witness, Exhibits, and Issues Office of Public Accountability Docket No. OPA-PA-20-002



GSA reserves the right to not call the witness designated above, the right to call any witnesses

designated by Appellant, the right to call witnesses as required for impeachment or to rebut the

testimony of any witness, as well as the right to supplement this list.

EXHIBITS:

1. Complete Agency Report (including Procurement Record already in evidence)

ISSUES:

1. Whether the timing of Amendment 1 fell outside the scope of the OPA's jurisdiction by

not being mentioned in the underlying Protest or in the Appeal.

2. Whether Amendment 1 was proper based on 5 G.C.A. §5216(e), RFP Page 11 §X, 2 GAR,

Div. 4, §3114(f)(2), and the best interests of the MRAO.

3. Whether the offeror must devise the voucher system based on 5 G.C.A. § 11.102(e)(1), the

meaning of the word "this", the plain meaning of "proposal", RFP Page 2 §III and II(1),

RFP Page 3, Guam Supreme Court precedent in Sumitomo, 2001 Guam 23 17 and 9th Circuit

district precedent in Raher, 2001 WL 2014875, and an absurd application of Appellant's

interpretation of §11.102(e)(1) to the remainder of the same statutory subsection.

i the same state of the same s

4. Whether there are hard facts showing actual bias despite speculative language in Appeal;

positive interaction with GSA to date (granting 2 protests, amending despite untimeliness of

3rd protest); lack of specific facts or affidavits or negative assessments per Hudson Valley,

1984 WL 46722; and reality that factors points, within GSA's discretion, must come from

somewhere.

Respectfully submitted on this 17th day of June, 2020.

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL

Leevin Taitano Camacho, Attorney General

By:

MATTHEW E. WOLFF

Assistant Attorney General