1	GUAM DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION	RECEIVED OFFICE OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTABILITY PROCUREMENT APPEALS	
2	James L.G. Stake, Legal Counsel	DATE: 3(3) 2021	
3	501 Mariner Avenue Barrigada, Guam 96913	TIME: 4:20 CIAM DIPM BY: JMP	
4	Telephone: (671) 300-1537 E-mail: legal-admin@gdoe.net	THE NO COADA - 21-004 + 21-005	
5	Attorney for Guam Department of Education		
6	OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC AUDITOR PROCUREMENT APPEALS		
7	To the Amusel of	ADDEAL GAGENOG ODA DA 01 004 1	
8	In the Appeal of	APPEAL CASE NOS.: OPA-PA-21-004 and OPA-PA-21-005	
9	Pacific Data Systems, Inc. (PDS),	•	
10	racine Data Systems, me. (FDS),	MOTION TO DISMISS FOR LACK OF	
11	Appellant.	SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION	
12			
13			
14	The Cyan Department of Education (CDOE) has and through its and artists of the cyange		
15	The Guam Department of Education (GDOE), by and through its undersigned counsel,		
16	moves to dismiss for lack of subject matter jurisdiction in the Appeal of Case Nos. OPA-PA-21-		
17	004 and OPA-PA-21-005.		
18	BACKGROUND		
19	On April 28, 2021, GDOE issued its Invitation for Bids (IFB) 027-2021 and 028-2021 for		
20	Telecommunication Services. On June 9 and June 10, 2021, Appellant filed their respective		
21	protests for the two IFBs. The above referenced protests include Appellant's accusations against		
22	Teleguam Holdings LLC (GTA), claiming that GTA has allegedly violated the Guam		
23	Telecommunications Act as set forth in a separate civil lawsuit between GTA and the Office of		
24	the Attorney General of Guam (OAG). Appellant's protests include the separate claim of GTA's		
25	alleged violation of the Telecommunications Act. GDOE now moves the Office of Public		
26	Accountability (OPA) to dismiss the appeals f	or the following reasons.	
27	·	•	
28	,		
	Pa In the Appeal of Pacific Data Systems, Inc. Appeal Case Nos. OPA-PA-21-004 and OPA-PA-21-00 GDOE Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Subject Matter J		

## **ARGUMENT**

The Public Auditor shall have the power to review and determine *de novo* any matter properly submitted. *See* 5 GCA §5703; *see also* 2 GAR Div. 4 §12103(a). This includes the power to rule on motions, and other procedural matters before the OPA. *See* 2 GAR Div. 4 §12109(d). Any motion concerning the jurisdiction of the Public Auditor shall be promptly filed, and the Public Auditor shall have the right to raise the issue of jurisdiction *sua sponte* at any time. *See* 2 GAR Div. 4 §12104(c)(9).

The issue here is that the OPA lacks subject matter jurisdiction for Appellant's protests related to GTA's alleged violation of the Telecommunications Act because the Guam Public Utilities Commission (GPUC) is the proper forum for the Appellant's disputes against GTA. In addition, the OPA has previously dismissed a similar appeal for lack of jurisdiction and should do so here.

The Public Auditor shall determine whether a decision on the protest of method of selection, solicitation or award of a contract, or entitlement to costs is in accordance with the statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the solicitation. See 2 GAR Div. 4 §12112. Appellant's protests are related to an alleged GTA violation of the Guam Telecommunications Act based on a separate lawsuit between GTA and OAG. The alleged violations of the Telecommunications Act are not a part of the selection, solicitation or award of a contract, or entitlement to costs, and are not found anywhere in the terms and conditions of the solicitation.

Id. Because Guam Procurement law does not provide the Public Auditor with authority to determine alleged violations of the Telecommunications Act, the appeals should be dismissed based on a lack of jurisdiction.

The agency with authority over disputes regarding the Guam Telecommunications Act is the Guam Public Utilities Commission (GPUC). See 12 GCA §12207. Guam law states that it is the GPUC that shall issue final orders resolving petitions or complaints regarding anything done or omitted to be done by any telecommunications company in violation of the rules, regulations,

and orders of the GPUC, and that any interested person complaining of violations shall file a petition or complaint with the GPUC. *Id.* Therefore, Guam law bestows on the GPUC the authority to investigate petitions or complaints regarding the Telecommunications Act. *Id.* The OPA was not given this authority because GPUC is statutorily authorized to address allegations regarding the Guam Telecommunication Act, there is no legal authority for the OPA to address the Guam Telecommunication Act allegations, and therefore the Appellant's claims should be dismissed.

Finally, the OPA has already ruled that it does not have jurisdiction over similar allegations, specifically allegations of Guam Labor law violations. In the Appeal of JRN Air Conditioning & Refrigeration, Inc., OPA-PA-10-008, the Public Auditor reiterated that it has the specific jurisdiction to hear an appeal of a purchasing agency's written decision on a protest concerning the purchasing agency's method of source selection, solicitation, or award of a contract. Id. at 8. However, the Public Auditor made it clear that it does not have jurisdiction to enforce the provisions of Guam Labor law, or to investigate violations of said statutes, as that rests with the Guam Department of Labor (GDOL). Id. at 9-10. The OPA held that the enforcement of GDOL statutory provisions is separate and apart from the procurement protest and appeal process, and is instead handled by a separate administrative adjudicatory process entrusted to the Guam Department of Labor. Id. at 9. This case is directly analogous here because similar to how GDOL has authority to investigate claims regarding alleged labor violations, it is the GPUC that has specific authority to investigate alleged Telecommunications Act violations. Therefore, the procurement protest and appeal process before the OPA is not the proper forum for alleged violations of the Telecommunications Act. See 12 GCA §12207; see also 2 GAR Div. 4 §12112. In conclusion, because the OPA lacks jurisdiction as discussed above, GDOE hereby moves for dismissal of Appellant's claims.

2627

24

25

ll ll		
1	CONCLUSION	
2	For these reasons, GDOE respectfully requests that the OPA dismiss Appeal Case Nos.	
3	OPA-PA-21-004 and OPA-PA-21-005 for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.	
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	·	
9		
10		
11	Respectfully submitted this 31st day of August, 2021.	
12		
13	GUAM DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION	
14		
15	By: JAMES L.G. STAKE	
16	Éégal Counsel	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22 23		
23 24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	Page 4 of 4  In the Appeal of Pacific Data Systems, Inc.  Appeal Case Nos. OPA-PA-21-004 and OPA-PA-21-005  GDOE Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction	