

Jerrick Hernandez < jhernandez@guamopa.com>

OPA-PA-21-007: Opposition to Motions

Scott T. Kadiasang <scott.kadiasang@gu.g4s.com>

Wed, Nov 3, 2021 at 4:54 PM

To: Jerrick Hernandez <jhernandez@guamopa.com>, "James L.G. Stake" <jlgstake@gdoe.net>, "Carmen T. Charfauros" <ctcharfauros@gdoe.net>

Cc: Teresa Sakazaki <teresa.sakazaki@gu.g4s.com>, Cris Ortiz <cris.ortiz@gu.g4s.com>, Greg Duenas <greg.duenas@gu.g4s.com>, "Ronnie B. Delfin" <ronnie.delfin@gu.g4s.com>, Eric Roberto <eric.roberto@gu.g4s.com>

Hafa Adai,

Please see the attached.

A confirmation of receipt would be most appreciated.

--

Thank you,

Scott T. Kadiasang Quality Control / Designer & Project Manager

G4S Security Systems (Guam), Inc.

Main Office: +671 646-2307

Mobile: +671 686-6712

Fax: +671 649-7245

J & G Commercial Plaza

Bldg. B, Suite 101

130 East Marine Corp Drive

Hagatna, GU 96910

Scott.kadiasang@gu.g4s.com

Local website: www.gu.g4s.com

Please consider the environment before printing this email



Please consider the environment before printing this email.

This communication may contain information which is confidential, personal and/or privileged. It is for the exclusive use of the intended recipient(s).

If you are not the intended recipient(s), please note that any distribution, forwarding, copying or use of this communication or the information in it is strictly prohibited. If you have received it in error please contact the sender immediately by return e-mail. Please then delete the e-mail and any copies of it and do not use or disclose its contents to any person.

Any personal views expressed in this e-mail are those of the individual sender and the company does not endorse or accept responsibility for them. Prior to taking any action based upon this e-mail message, you should seek appropriate confirmation of its authenticity.

This message has been checked for viruses on behalf of the company.



Scanned from a Xerox Multifunction Printer (7).pdf 125K

2) MOTION TO DISMISS FOR LACK OF SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION

published terms and conditions and its amendments did not require the submission of a Guam Contractors License in the bid submission. On September 17, 2021, G4S filed an appeal with the Office of Public Accountability (OPA). On October 4, 2021, GDOE filed its Agency Statement and G4S filed Comments on Agency Statement on October 14, 2021. G4S then filed Motion for Summary Decision on October 27, 2021 and GDOE filed motions to dismiss for failure to state a valid claim and lack of subject matter jurisdiction on the same day. G4S opposes GDOE's motions with the following arguments.

II. G4S STATED A VALID CLAIM AND OPA HAS AN APPROPRIATE SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION FOR THIS APPEAL

G4S has proven that a valid claim was stated in its Motion for Summary Decision filed on October 27, 2021. The foundation of this protest and appeal is a procurement issue because the issue is whether TFT is a responsive and responsible bidder. GDOE simply misinterpreted the issue to be lack of a Guam Contractor license so that it does not fall into OPA's jurisdiction. However, GDOE's interpretation was wrong because the lack of a Guam Contractor license by TFT is a fact and this fact caused TFT to be disqualified as a responsive and responsible bidder as defined under 5 GCA §§ 5201(f), 5201(g). G4S's claim is that GDOE should not award the contract to the party who is not a responsive and responsible bidder. G4S concedes that Guam Procurement Law mandates that an IFB shall be evaluated based on the requirements set forth in the IFB. Although GDOE IFB 026-2021 in its published terms and conditions did not require the submission of a Guam Contractor's license, it explicitly requires the bidder to be the lowest, most responsive and responsible priced bid to be awarded with the contract. See IFB 026-2021, §3.1.3.2. Therefore G4S has stated a valid claim under Guam Procurement Law.

G4S has also proven that OPA has the appropriate jurisdiction on this protest and appeal in its Motion for Summary Decision filed on October 27, 2021. The Public Auditor shall have the power to review and determine *de novo* any matter properly submitted. *See* 5 GCA §5703; *see also* 2 GAR Div. 4 §12103(a). This includes the power to rule on motions, and other procedural matters before the OPA. *See*

PAGE 2 OF 3
IN THE APPEAL OF G4S SECURITY SYSTEMS (GUAM) INC.
APPEAL CASE NO. OPA-PA-21-007
G4S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO STATE A VALID CLAIM

Ì

2 GAR Div. 4 §12109(d). The Public Auditor has the power to promote the integrity of the procurement process and the purposes of Guam's Procurement laws, and the Public Auditor's jurisdiction shall be utilized to promote the integrity of the procurement process and the purposes of 5 GCA Chapter 5, Guam Procurement Law. See 5 GCA §5703. The Public Auditor shall determine whether a decision on a protest of method of selection, solicitation or award of a contract, or entitlement to costs is in accordance with the statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the solicitation. See 2 GAR Div. 4 §12112. Since G4S's stated claim has been approved to be an issue under Guam Procurement Law in both the Motion for Summary Decision and also above paragraph, this protest and appeal shall fall within the OPA's jurisdiction because GDOE violated Guam Procurement Law and did not evaluate the bid in accordance to its published requirements and wrongfully awarded the contract to TFT, who is not a responsive and responsible bidder. Therefore OPA's jurisdiction to decide on this appeal and promote the integrity of the procurement process and the purposes of 5 GCA Chapter 5, Guam Procurement Law is appropriate.

III. CONCLUSION

BASED ON THE FOREGOING, G4S respectfully requests that the Public Auditor to support G4S's protest and appeal to GDOE's wrongful award to TFT. Furthermore, G4S respectfully requests that GDOE to re-award the contract in accordance to its IFB's criteria to the lowest, most responsive and responsible priced bid, which is G4S.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED ON 3rd of November, 2021.



PAGE 3 OF 3
IN THE APPEAL OF G4S SECURITY SYSTEMS (GUAM) INC.
APPEAL CASE NO. OPA-PA-21-007
G4S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR FAILURE TO STATE A VALID CLAIM