Steven Carrara IP&E Holdings LLC P.O. Box 2881 Barrigada, Guam 96921 Telephone No. (671) 646-1222 Fax No. (671) 646-4723 E-mail: Steven.Carrara@itehq.net

Attorney for Appellant IP&E Holdings, LLC

RECEIVED

OFFICE OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTABILITY PROCUREMENT APPEALS

DATE: NOVIMBU 19,2015

TIME: 3:53 DAM DPM BY: AR

FILE NO OPA-PA: 15-013

THE OFFICE OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTABILITY

In the Procurement Appeal of) NOTICE OF PROCUREMENT APPEAL
IP&E HOLDINGS, LLC.,	Docket No. OPA-PA 5.013
Appellant.	

Appellant Information:

IP&E Holdings, LLC. (IP&E) 646 Chalan San Antonio Tamuning Guam 96913-3644

Tel: 647-0123 Fax: 649-4353

Appeal Information:

- A) Guam Power Authority ("GPA"), Consolidated Commission on Utilities ("CCU").
- B) Invitation for Bid No. GPA 005-15 for the Supply of Diesel Fuel Oil No. 2 and Automotive Gasoline (Regular Unleaded) for GPA Transportation Fleet.
- C) Decision being appealed is the GPA Denial of Protest, dated October 30, 2015.
- D) Appeal is made from a decision on protest of notice of award.
- E) Names of Competing Bidders: Mobil Oil Guam, Inc., South Pacific Petroleum Inc. Form and Filing:

On or about October 28, 2015, GPA issued invitation for bid GPA -005-15 for the procurement of diesel and automotive fuel for the GPA Fleet. Bid opening occurred on July 8, 2015.

On or about April 29, 2015, IP&E filed its initial protest challenging award to Mobil Guam for failure to provide the specified insurance as part of its bid submission. On or about September 18, 2015 the OPA issued its protest decision. Specifically stating that submission of the identified insurance was required that if GPA did not desire the insurance it should revise its requirements or race. A copy of the OPA decision is included as part of Attachment B.

On or about September xx, 2015, IP&E filed an agency protest challenging the deletion of the insurance requirements without soliciting subsequent bids. (Attachment A). In a letter dated October 30, 2015, GPA denied the protest. (Attachment B).

It is IP&E position that once GPA elected to change the requirements, GPA should have re-solicited bids from the existing bidders so that may adjust their pricing for the new requirements or cancel the bid entirely and begin anew. By merely deleting the requirement without new pricing to favor one bidder is an anathema to sound procurement procedure and policy and inapposite to the original protest decision which found the insurance was required to be submitted as part of the original bid. To find otherwise renders the initial protest and decision moot and perpetuate poor procurement practice.

Declaration regarding court action:

IP&E confirms that an action in court has not been commenced.

Dated this 6th day of November 2015.

IP&E HOLDINGS LLC.

Steven Carrara

General Counsel

VERIFICATION

I Brian J. Bamba, Managing Director, for IP&E Holdings LLC, hereby declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of Guam that I have read the foregoing Notice of Appeal and that it is true and correct of my own knowledge, except as to those matter alleged upon information and belief and as to those matters, I believe them to be true.

Dated this 6th day of November 2015.

Brian J. Bamba



Mr. John M. Benavente, P.E. General Manager

Guam Power Authority P.O. Box 2977 Hagåtña Guam, 96932

Subject: GPA IFB GPA-005-15

Topic: Formal Bid Protest

Attn: Mr. John Benavente, P.E. - General Manager

Dear Mr. Benavente:

I write this in response to Amendment No.: VII to GPA IFB GPA-005-15, which IP&E received on October 8th, 2015.

The recent OPA decision, Section VI, subsection four clearly states that the IFB must be cancelled and revised. In the event that GPA wishes to amend the specifications, it is required to make a formal amendment to the existing procurement, it should be required to solicit bids to reflect the change. It is an inherent principle of procurement law that bidders are aware of the specifications so that may submit a bid to meet the specifications. If the specifications are changed a bidder must be accorded the opportunity to respond. Merely, deleting the requirement, which GPA previously found to be important, without soliciting additional bids or restarting the entire procurement makes the OPA decision superfluous.

Regards,

Fred Keller Director of Sales

F.keller@ipehq.com 1-671-797-0337 (cell)

1-671-647-0123 ext.102 (work)

C/C - GPA Procurement Manager, Jaime Pangelinan, GPA Assistant General Manager Operations, Melinda Cruz C/C - Steven Carrara IP&E Legal Counsel

IP&E Holdings, LLC. dba: IP&E Guam

Suite 100, 643 Chalan San Antonio Tamuning, Guam 96913-3644

Tel: 671-647-0000 | Fax: 671-649-4353

Email: corporate@ipehq.com





GUAM POWER AUTHORITY

ATURIDÅT ILEKTRESEDÅT GUAHAN P.O. BOX 2977 • AGANA, GUAM U.S.A. 96932-2977

October 30, 2015

Fred Keller
Director of Sales
IP&E Holdings, LLC
dba: IP&E Guam
Suite 100, 643 Chalan San Antonio
Tamuning, Guam 96913-3644

T: 647-0000 F: 649-4353

Email: F.keller@ipehq.com

SUBJECT:

Amendment No.: VII to IFB GPA-005-15

REF .:

OPA Decision and Order - OPA-PA-15-006, GPA-005-15 for Diesel Fuel Oil

No. 2 and Automotive Gasoline (Regular Unleaded) for GPA Transportation

Fleet

Dear Mr. Keller:

This is in response to your letter regarding Amendment No.: VII. You have cited the OPA Decision and Order dated September 18, 2015, a copy of which is attached hereto.

However, page 12, paragraph 4, directs GPA to "No later than thirty (30) days after this Decision is hereby issued, GPA must either cancel the IFB or *revise it* to comply with 5.G.C.A. §5001(b) (6) and 2 G.A.R., Div. 4, Chap. 1, §1102(5) by deleting the language in Part B, Section 3.13.G of the IFB and IFB Amendment No.: II, which requires the bidders to submit insurance policies with their bids. Based on the Decision and Order, GPA revised the IFB to comply with the OPA Decision and Order.

Respectfully,

PLEASE ACKNOWLEDGE RECEIPT BY SIGNING BELOW AND RETURN THIS FAX TO MELISSA UNCANGCO, FAX. NO.: 648-3165.

PRINT/SIGNATURE

DATE

COMPANY

APPRECIATE YOUR IMMEDIATE RESPONSE. THANK YOU.

GPA PROCUREMENT DIVISION TEL.: (671) 648-3054/55

ATTACHMEN B

I

2

3

4

. 5

5

7

8

9

7.0

3.2

\$2

73

74

35

23

27

78

23

20

21

22

23

14

25

7.5

27

38



DEFICE OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTABILITY
DUPLE PROPER BROOKS, CPA, COPM.
Public Additor

BEFORE THE PUBLIC AUDITOR PROCUREMENT APPEALS

IN THE APPEAL OF,

APPEAL NO: OPA-PA-15-006

IP&E HOLDINGS, L.L.C.

DECISION

Appellant

L INTRODUCTION

This is the Decision of the Public Auditor for appeal number OPA-PA-15-006 which was filed by IP&E HOLDINGS, L.L.C., (hereafter referred to as "IPE") on April 29, 2015 regarding the GUAM POWER AUTHORITY's (hereafter referred to as "GPA") April 17, 2015 denial of IPE's February 13, 2015 protest concerning GPA-IFB-005-15 for Diesel Fuel Oil No. 2 and Automotive Gasoline (hereafter referred to as "IFB"). The Public Auditor holds that Part B. Section 3.13 G of the IFB required the bidders to submit various insurance policies naming GPA as an additional insured and providing waivers of subrogation to GPA and this requirement violates 5 G.C.A. \$5001(b)(6) and 2 G.A.R., Div. 4, Chap. 1. \$1102(5) because it favors bidders with existing GPA contracts and prejudices bidders who do not have them. Accordingly, IPE's appeal is hereby GRANTED in part and DENIED in part.

IL FINDINGS OF FACT

The Public Auditor in reaching this Decision has considered and incorporated herein the procurement record and all documents submitted by the parties, and all arguments made during the August 18, 2015 hearing for Appellant's Appeal. Based on the aforementioned record in this

Suite 95: IAA Büsüng 238 Archbishop Mora Bhod, Hogalia, Geom 98910 16:1671: 475-9380 - Fix (657) 472-7801 www.iplicinapa.org - Honline: A7AUUT (472-8348) is.

4

9

7

1.5

17

14

50 67 67

21

22

24 25

26

27

matter, the Public Auditor makes the following findings of face:

- 1. On or about November 6, 2014, GPA issued the IFB.
- 2. The IFB stated, in relevant part:
- a. That the Contractor shall furnish GPA with two (2) copies of a Contificate of Insurance evidencing policies for Workmen's Statutory Compensation Insurance and Employer's Liability Insurance, Commercial General Liability, Automobile Liability Insurance, Pollution Liability Insurance, and that such certificates shall specifically indicate the Commercial General Liability Insurance and that such certificates shall execusions of coverage as specified by the IPB, and that the insurance certificate state that the insurance company or companies issuing the insurance policies shall give GPA at least thirty (30) days written notice in the event of cancellation of or material change in any of the polices, and that if coverage on the certificate or certificates is shown to expire prior to the completion of all terms of the Contract, the Contractor shall furnish Certificates of Insurance existencing renewal of such coverage to GPA and that the Certificates of Insurance existencing renewal of mumber.²
- b. That the Contractor shall provide a copy of their Insurance Policy to GPA as part of the bid requirements. All updates or revisions after contract commencement shall also be forwarded to GPA.
- of the IFB must be submitted in writing and with sufficient time allowed for a written reply to

IFB Advertisement, page 35, Pactic Dally News dated November 6, 2014, Tab 2, Propuration Record Filed on May 7, 2015 2 Section 3.13.5 Insurance, page 872, IFB, Tab J. Id. 3 Id.

D D

7

E T

110

13

13

15

17

19

26

27

23

24

26

23

7.5

reach all bidders before submission of their bids.

- d. That the IFB's bid opening was at 2;00 p.m. on December 4, 2014, and that all bids submitted in response to the IFB must be submitted prior to that date and time.
 - 3. On November 6, 2014, IPE picked up a copy of GPA's Bid Package.
- 4: On December I, 2014, IPE sent a written request to GPA to answer the following questions, in relevant part:
- al. Concerning IFIS Part II, Fuel Supply Contract, Section 3.13.F. Excess

 Liability's requirement that the Contractor must carry Excess Liability Insurance for \$5,000,000,

 IPE inquired whether GPA would accept increasing General Liability Insurance from \$2,000,000 to \$7,000,000 in lieu of the Excess Liability Insurance requirement.
- b. Concerning, Part II, Fuel Supply Confract. Section 3.13.G. Certificate of Insurance Insurance's requirement that the Contractor provide two (2) copies of a Certificate of Insurance in GPA's favor, and GPA's request for the bidder's Insurance Policies as part of the bid requirements, IPE inquired whether a certificate of insurance in GPA's favor with maximum insurance limits for items in paragraphs B (Workmen's Statutory Compensation Insurance and Employer's Liability Insurance), C (Commercial General Liability Insurance), D (Automobile Liability Insurance), & E (Pollution Liability Insurance), would suffice in lieu of insurance policies.
 - 4. On December 3, 2014 GPA issued IFB Amendment No. 1, which extended the hid

Paragraph 3; Explanation to Bidders, Sailed Mid Solicitation Instructions; page 897, Id.

^{*} Bidder's Register, page 212. Tab 4. Id. * 198 Amendment No. II dated December 12, 2014, page 229, Tab 3, Id.

:3

1

*

Ţ

8 7

10

11 125

13

14 14

16

17

18 19

20.

25 22

23 24

25 25

27 21. opening date from 2:00 p.m. on December 4, 2014 to 2:00 p.m. on December 23, 2014.8

- 5, On December 12, 2014, OPA issued IFB Amendment No. II, which, in relevant part:
- a. Answered IPE's inquiry concerning whether GPA would accept increasing General Liability Insurance from \$2,000,000 to \$7,000,000 in lieu of the Excess Liability Insurance requirement by stating: "Please salmit a copy of the insurance coverage for GPA's review."
- b. Answered IPE inquiry concerning whether a certificate of insurance in GPA's favor with maximum insurance limits for items in paragraphs B (Workman's Statutory Compensation Insurance and Employer's Liability Insurance). C (Commercial General Liability Insurance), D (Automobile Liability Insurance), & B (Pollution Liability Insurance), would suffice in her of insurance policies by stating: "No. GRA requires a copy of the insurance policy(ies). 49
- 6. On December 22, 2014; GPA issued IFB Amendment No. III which extended the bid opening date from 2:00 p.m. on December 23, 2014 to 2:00 p.m. on January 6, 2015.10
- 7. On January 5, 2015; GPA issued IFB Amondment No. V which extended the bid opening date from 2:00 p.m. on January 6, 2015 to 2:00 p.m. on January 13, 2015 H
- 8. On January 13, 2015, prior to bid opening, GPA received bids in response to the IFB from IPE, MOBIL OIL GUAM, INC. (hereafter referred to as "MOBIL") and SOUTH PACIFIC PETROLEUM CORPORATION (hereafter referred to as "SPPC"). 12
- 9. GPA opened the bids at 2:00 p.m. on January 13, 2015, and determined, in relevant part, that IPE and SPPC included copies of their insurance policies with their bids and MOBIL

F IFB Amendment No. I dated December 3, 2014, page 795, Tab 7, Id. diff Apendment No. II dated December 12, 2014, page 829, Tab 1, Id: To IFB Amendment Wo. III dated December 22, 2014, page 923, Id.

IFB Amendment Md. V dated Jarnary 5, 2015, page 315, Id.

¹⁴ Bidder's Register, TAB 4, Id.

7.

. K

1

: 5 '\$

T

e io:

11.

13

2.5

15

18

19

20

22

23

24 25

26

2. B

did not include a copy of its insurance policy with its bid. 13

10. On January 29, 2015, GPA's Bid Evaluation Committee requested that GPA's Supply Management Administrator inquire from the three (3) hiddens that upon award their litisurance provider will comply with the hismance requirements as stated in the IFB. 14

11. On January 29, 2015, Jamie L.C. Pangelinan, GPA's Supply Management Administrator sent a letter to IPE, MOBIL, and SPPC requesting that they confirm that if awarded the IFB contract, each of them would respectively comply with the insurance requirements as stated in IFB, Part B, Section 3-13, Insurance of the IFB and she required the bidders to submit their responses no later than February 2, 2015. 15

12. On January 29, 2015, SPPC responded to GPA's request for confirmation by stating that if awarded, SPPC will comply with the insurance requirements stated in Part B, Section 3.13, Insurance of the IPB. 16

13. On January 30, 2015, IPE responded to GPA's request for confirmation with a letter confirming their ability to comply with the IPB's insurance requirements and IPE attached copies of the insurance policies it provided to GPA with IPE's bid and IPE stated that these documents were required by Part B, Section 3.13, Subpart O, Certificate of Insurance and that this requirement was further clarified by answer to Question 14, in IPB Amendment No. IL. 17

14. On February 2, 2015, MOBIL responded to GPA's request for confirmation by stating that MOBIL would comply with the insurance requirements upon receiving the formal

¹³ Abstract of Sids dated January 18, 2015; TAB 22, 16.

W GRA Memorandum dated January 29, 2015 From Evaluation Committee to Supply Management Administrator, FAB 28, Id.

¹⁹ Sea Letter from Jamie Pangelinan dated January 29, 2015 to SPFC, to IPE, and to MOSTL, Lousted respectively at page 211 (SPPC), and at page 234 (IPE) TAB 29, and page 225 (MOSTL), TAB 30, Id.

¹⁶ Letter from Enne Van Seters to Jamie Fangelinan dated January 29, 2015, page 227, TAR 29, Id.

La Letter dated January 30, 2015 from Fred Weller to Jamie L.C. Pangelinan, page 152, TAB 31.

S

12

13.

EE

15

16

16

1.9

. 21 . 21

22

24:

75

: 26

· #25

award notice and executed supply contract and MOBIL attached its existing Certificates of Insurance MOBIL further stated that it would include the IPB Contract in its insurance policies.

add GPA as an additional insured, and grant a waiver of subrogation in favor of GPA. 18

- 15. On February 5, 2015, GPA's Bid Evaluation Committee determined that IPE, MOBIL, and SPPC bids met the IFB's specifications and they recommended awarding the IFB Contract to MOBIL because it was the overall lowest, and most responsive and responsible bidder. GPA's General Manager approved the Bid Evaluation Committee's recommendation that same day.¹⁹
- 16. On February 6, 2015, GPA issued a Notice of Intent of Possible Award of the IFB

 Contract to MOBIL, which gave MOBIL fourteen (14) days to submit their performance bond to

 GPA.²⁰
- 17. On that same day, GPA issued a Bid Status to IPE and SPPC informing them that their respective bids were rejected due to high price and notifying them that the IFB Combact was being recommended for award to MOBIL.
- 13. On February 15, 2015, seven (7) days after being notified that its bid was rejected due to high price and that GPA was considering awarding the IFB Contract to MOBIL. IPE protested GPA's acceptance and consideration of MOBIL's bid because it did not include the insurance documents required by IFB Section 3.13, Insurance, Item G.²²

th Email dated February 2, 2015 from Tom Anitok to Stephania Taijeron; page 146, TAP 32, Id.

¹⁹ CPA Memorandum dated February 5, 2015 from Bld Eveluesion Committee to Supply Management Administrator, page 135, TAB 34, Id.
20 Latter dated Rebruary 6, 2015 from John M. Benaverte to Charles Swart, page

^{123,} TAB 35, Id:
TO See BID Status dated February 5, 2015 for IPE and SPEC, page 132 (IPE), end
page 127 (SPEC), Id.

²² IPE's Protest dated Fabruary 13, 2015, page 107, TAR 36.

12

7 8 9

10 11

12 13

14, 1.5

10

17 18

12

20

23 22

23

24

25 20

23

T9. That same day, GPA issued a stay of procurement as a result of IPE's protest.23

20. On April 17, 2015, GPA denied IPE's February 13, 2015 propest on the grounds that the IFB did not require the bidders to submit insurance certificates and policies and that Section 3.13 of the Supply Coultget form states that: "the contractor shall not commence work under this contract until he has obtained all insurance required under this section and such insurance has been approved by GPA," and GPA only required the winning bidder to submit the insurance policies in Section 3.13 because such policies could not be provided until after the contract is awarded.24

21. On April 29, 2015, twelve (12) days after GPA denied its protest on April 17, 2015. IPE filed this appeal.

III ANALYSIS

Pursuant to 5 G.C.A. \$5703, the Public Auditor shall review GPA's April 17, 2015 decision denying IPE's February 13, 2015 protest de voya. The issue in this matter is whether MOBIL's bid was responsive and this issue is dependent on whether Part B. Section 3.13.G of the TFB required the bidders to submit copies of their policies for Workmen's Statutory Compensation Insurance and Employer's Liability Insurance, Commercial General Liability Insurance, Automobile Liability Insurance, Polletion Liability Insurance, and Excess Liability Insurance with their bids.

A. The IFB required copies of insurance policies to be submitted with the bids.

The IFB required the bidders to submit copies of their insurance policies with their bids. A plain reading of the IFB's language indicates that it clearly and unambiguously required the

W Letter dated February 13, 2015 from John M. Demevenie, page 102 (To SPPC). page 194 (To MOBIL), TAB 37, Id. * GFA's Benial of Productment Protest dated April 17, 2015, page 72, IAD 41,

4

2

S

8

9

11

1.1

五年 五二

\$6

17

7.39

31 30

22

33

25

27

22

Contractor to provide GPA copies of its policies for Workmen's Statutory Compensation: Insurance and Employer's Liability Insurance, Commercial General Linbility Insurance. Automobile Liability Insurance, Pollution Liability Insurance, and Excess Liability Insurance, 23 Further, the IFB clearly and mambiguously states that the Contractor shall provide a copy of their Insurance Policy to GPA as part of the bid requirements.26 The term "contractor" as used in the IFB likely means a person having a contract wifit GPA. 5 G.C.A. \$5030(f) and 2 G.A.R., Div. 4, Chap. 1, \$1106(6). The IFB language, read as a whole, would require such person to submit a bid to get awarded the IFB Contract and the aforementioned IFB language requiring the insurance policies be submitted as part of the bid clearly indicates that copies of the insurance policies must be submitted with the hid. If the use of the word "contractor" created an ambiguity, such ambiguity was cleared up when GPA issued IFB Amendment No. II. As stated above, GPA answered both of IPE's inquiries concerning Part B, Section 3.13.G of the IPB by requiring the submission of insurance coverage for GPA's review and by stating that GPA. required the insurance policies, not just the certificates of insurance. Two (2) of the three (3) bidders submitted copies of their respective policies to GPA. Based on the foregoing, the Public Auditor finds that Part B. Section 3.13.G of the IFB and GPA's responses to IPE's inquiries concerning the need for insurance policies required the bidders to submit the insurance policies with their bids to comply with Part B. Section 3.13 of the IFB.

GPA and MOBIL argue that Part B of the IFB only concern the draft contract and its requirements, including Section 3.13.G of the IFB and need only be performed by the hidder

in Section 3.13.6 Inducance, page 872, IFB, Tab 3, Id.

¹⁴ IFB Amendment No. II dated December 17, 2014, page 829, Tab 3, Id.

who is awarded the IFB contract. R Generally, for multi-year contracts only the term of the Į. 1 contract and conditions for renewal and extension must be included in the solicitation. 5 O.C.A. 3 4 :5 6 Ţ B 9: LT) 13 12 EL 14 13 10

22 22 23

17

12

10

20

25 26

27

20

党及

\$5237(a). In addition to the term and renewal provisions, the solicitation must also stare (1) The amount of supplies or services for the proposed contract period; (2) That a unit price shall be given for each supply and service that is uniform throughout the contract: (3) That the contract will be cancelled only if funds are not appropriated or otherwise made available to support continuation of performance in any fiscal period succeeding the first: (4) That the programment officer must notify the contractor on a timely basis as to whether fonds are or are not available for the fiscal years succeeding the first; (5) Whether the bidders had to submit prices for the first fiscal period only, the entire period only, or both the first fiscal period and the entire time of performance; (6) How the award will be determined; and (7) That in the event of cancellation, the contractor will be reimbursed the unamortized, reasonably incurred, non-recurring costs, 2 G.A.R., Div. 4, Chap. 3, \$3121(e)(1). Had GPA taken the approach of only including these required provisions to the IFB, or had it edited the draft contract to remove any and all language stating the contractor had to provide information as part of its bid subtaission; or had the IFB. specifically stated that the provisions of Part B of the IFB would only have to be performed by the winning bidder, perhaps its argument would have more merit. Instead, GPA went beyond these requirements by including the entire draft contract in the IFB and by adding the Imaginage requiring the contractor to submit a copy of their Insurance Policy to GPA as part of the bid requirements in Part B. Section 3.13 G of the IFB. Further, GPA specifically required the

of Page 1, GEA's Statement Answering Allegations of Appeal filed on May 14, 2015, and page 2, MODEL's Hearing River Filed on August 11, 2015.

6.

5

8

11.

12.

20

ř.3

1.6.

15

2.7 2.8

1.0

20

21

23

26

25

23

bidders to fill out the draft contract and return it to GPA as part of their responses to the IFR. 29.

Therefore, the Public Auditor finds no merit in GPA and MOHIL's argument that only the winning bidder had to submit their insurance policies to GPA in accordance with the requirements of Part B. Section 3.13.63 of the IFB.

The Public Auditor must now closely mulyze whether any of the bidders could comply with the IFB's requirement for the bidders to submit insurance policies with their bids.

B. The IFB violates the procurement policy favoring broad-based competition.

GPA and MOBIL argue that more of the bidders could have submitted a valid insurance policy with their bids because they would not have an insurable interest until one of them was awarded the contract. **If Every interest in property, or any relation thereto, or any liability in respect thereto, of such nature that a contemplated peril might directly damnify the insured, is an insurable interest. A mere contingent or expectant interest in anything, not founded upon an actual right to or in the thing, nor upon any valid contract for it, is not insurable. 22 G.C.A. \$15204(a). Here, the bidders were to submit their Workmen's Statutory Compensation insurance and Employer's Liability insurance providing a waiver of subrogation in favor of GPA are required to be an additional insured and the bidders were to include a waiver of subrogation in favor of GPA for their Commercial General Liability, Automobile Liability, Pollution Liability, and Excess Liability Insurance Policies. ** Here, the insurance policies submitted by IPE with their bid indicate that GPA contracts are in fact listed in their schedule of insured contracts, however, they are for GPA Dissel Puel Supply Contract awarded to IPE in GPA-049-09 and GPA-050-09 and neither of these contracts concern the IFB at issue in this

M Notice, page 24, 185, ID.

^{*} Page 3. SPA's Statement Answering Allegations of Appeal filed on May 14, 2015, and Page 3. MDZIL'S Hearing Brief filed on August 11, 2015.

Part B. Section 3.13.8. page 876, Procurement Record filed on May 7, 2015. Part B. Section 3.13.C. D. E. and E. pages 870-872, Id.

8 7

à

8

10 11

12 13

11 13:

16

17 1,8

19

20

27 EE

23

24 25

ÝK.

matter. 15 GPA is also listed as an additional insured in IPE's Insurance Policies. 14 So how could IPE readily comply with Part B. Section 3.13.13 of the IFB without being awarded the contract? IPE admits that it submitted its existing insurance policies covering its existing Diesel Puel Supply Contracts for GPA's Fast Track Generators with its bid. 45 This means that the IFB's requirement for bidders to submit insurance policies set forth in Part B, Section 3.13. C of the IFB highly favors bidders with existing contracts with GPA by requiring the same types of insurance, and highly prejudices bidders without those same contracts. One of the underlying purposes and policies of Guam's Procurement Laws and Regulations is to fosfer effective broadbased competition within the free-emerpitise system. 5 G.C.A. \$5001(b)(6) and 2 G.A.R., Div A. Chap. 1, \$1102(5). The Public Auditor finds that the IFB's requirement that the bidders submit insurance policies with their bids violates 5.G.C.A. \$5001(b)(6) and 2 G.A.R., Div. 4, Chap. 1. \$11.02(5) because of the aforementioned favoritism to bidders with existing GPA commets and its prejudice to bidders without them.

Accordingly, the issue of whether MOBIL's bid was responsive to the IFB is most because the questions concerning its responsiveness raised in this matter concerned the IFB's unlawful requirement that the bidders submit insurance policies with their bids.

C. The IFB must be revised to comply with Guans's Procurement Laws and Regulations.

As stated above, the Public Auditor finds that Part B. Section 3.13 G violates 5 G.C.A. \$5001(b)(6) and I.G.A.R., Div. 4, Chap. 1, \$1102(5). If prior to award it is determined that a solicitation is in violation of law, then the solicitation shall be cancelled or revised to comply

W Contractual Liability, Schedule of Insured Contracts, page 211, attached to IPS's January 10, 2015 response to GPA's Request for Confirmation, TAS 11. Promyrement Record filed on May 7, 2015.

³⁴ Additional Insured, page 211, Id. 35 Statement of Steven Cariffra, Esq., August 18, 2015 Hearing Re Appellant's Appeal.

4

** ×0

3

9 10

11

12

13

16

17

13

20

27

23

23

25

25

with the law: 5 G.C.A. §5451 and 2 G.A.B., Div. 4, Chap., 9, §9105. Thus, GPA must either cancel the IFB or revise it to comply with 5 G.C.A. §5001(b)(6) and 2 G.A.R., Div. 4, Chap. 1. §1102(5) by deleting the language in Part B., Section 3.13.G of the IFB and IFB Amendment No. II which require the bidders to submit insurance policies with their bids.

IV. CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing the Public Auditor hereby determines the following:

- 1. Part B. Section 3.13. G of the IFB and GPA's responses to IPE's inquiries concerning the need for insurance policies required the bidders to submit the insurance policies to comply with Part B. Section 3.13 of the IPB with their bids.
- 2. The Public Auditor finds that the aforementioned IFB's requirement that the bidders submit insurance policies with their bids violates 5 G.C.A. §5001(b)(6) and 2 G.A.R., Div. 4. Chap. 1. §1102(5) because the requirement highly favors bidders with existing GPA contracts and if prejudices bidders who do not have them.
 - 3. IPE's Appeal is hereby GRANTED in part and DEVIED in part.
- * A. No later than thirty (30) days after this Decision is issued, GPA must either cancel the IPB or revise it to unouply with 5 G.C.A. \$5001(b)(6) and 2 G.A.R., Div. 4, Chap. 1.

 §1102(5) by deleting the language in Part B; Section 3.13 G of the IFB and IFB Amendment No.

 II, which requires the bidders to submit insurance policies with their bids.
- 5. The Public Auditor finds that IPE is entitled to its reasonable costs incurred in connection with the IFB's solicitation and IPE's protest, excluding attorney's fees, pursuant to 5 G,C.A. §5425(h), because there was a reasonable likelihood that IPE may have been awarded the contract because it had the second lowest bid but for GPA's violations of Gnam Procurement Law and Regulations as set forth herein. GPA may object to IPE's cost demand by filing the

E. 4

5

6 7

8 9

10 LL

12 13

24 15

1,5

17

14 19

20

IT.

2,2

23 文章

> 233 26

27

28

appropriate motion with the Public Auditor no later than fifteen (15) days after IPE submits such cost demand to GPA.

This is a Final Administrative Decision. The Parties are hereby informed of their right to appeal from a Decision by the Public Auditor to the Superior Court of Guant, in accordance with Article D of Chapter 9, of 5 G.C.A. within fourteen (14) days after receipt of a Final Administrative Decision, 5 G.C.A. §5481(a).

A copy of this Decision shall be provided to the parties and their respective attorneys, in accordance with 5 G.C.A. \$5702, and shall be made available for review on the OPA Website www.apaguam.ofg.

DATED this day 18th of September, 2015.

PUBLIC AUDITOR

Suite 401 DNA Building 238 Archbishop Flores St. Hagatña, Guam 96910



FAX

To:	D. Graham Boths, Esq. GPA Legal Counsel Guan Power Authority	From:	Doris Flores Brooks Guam Public Auditor Office of Public Accountability
	Phone: (671) 648-3303/3002 Fax: (671) 648-3290	Pages:	14 (including cover page)
CC:	Steven Carrara, Esq. Attorney for IP&E Holdings, LLC Phone: (671) 646-1222 Fax: (671) 646-4723	Date:	September 18, 2015
	R. Marsil Johnson, Esq. Attorney for Interested Party Mobil Oil Guam Inc. Phone: (671) 477-7857 Fax: (671) 472-4290	Phone: Fax:	475-0390 x. 218 472-7951

Re;	OPA-PA-15-006 I	decision.				
□ Urgent	☐ For Review	☐ Please Comment	✓ Please Réply	☐ Please Recycle		

Comments:

Please acknowledge receipt of this transmittal by re-sending this cover page along with your firm or agency's receipt stamp, date, and initials of receiver.

Thank you,
Christian Rivera
Audit Staff
crivera@guamopa.org

This facsimile transmission and accompanying documents may contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient of this fax transmission, please call our office and notify as immediately. Do not distribute or disclose the contents to anyone. Thank you,

P.01/01

TRANSACTION REPORT

SBP/18/2015/PRI 12:56 PM

BROADCA

	DATE	START T.	RECEIVER	COM.TIME	PAGE	TYPE/NO	re		FILE
001	SEPILE	12:47PM	6483290	0:03:27	1:4	MEMORY	OK	5.63	4225
002		12:5 LPM	6464723	0:02:28	14	MEMORY	ÓR.	SQ3	4225
003		12:54PM	4724290	0:02:44	14	MEKORY	OK	563	4225
1	TAL		No. of particular and a second	0:08:39	4	. 3			

Suite 401 DNA Building 238 Archbishop Flores St. Hagatifa, Guam 96910



FAX

To:	D. Gruham Botha, Esq. GRA Legal Counsel Guam Power Authority	From:	Doris Flores Brooks Gnam Public Auditor Office of Public Accountability
	Phone; (671) 648-3203/3002 Fax: (671) 648-3290	Pages:	14 (including cover page)
CC:	Steven Carrara, Esq. Attorney for IP&E Heldings, II.C Phone: (671) 546-1222 Fax: (671) 546-4723	Dates	September 18, 2015
,) ***********************************	R. Marsil Johnson, Esq. Attorney for Interested Party Mobil Oli Gnam Inc. Phone: (671) 477-7857 Fux: (671) 472-4290	Phones Fax:	475-0390 x, 218 472-7951

Re:

OPA-PA-15-006 Decision

□ Urgent □ For Review

D Please Comment

V Please Reply

☐ Please Recycle

Comments:

Please acknowledge receipt of this transmittal by re-sending this cover page along with your furn or agency's receipt stamp, date, and initials of receiver.

Thank you,

Christian Rivera

Audit Staff

crivera@guamopa.org

This facsimile transmission and accompanying documents may contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient of this fax transmission, please call our office and notify as immediately. Do not distribute of disclose the contents to anyone. Thank you.