Suite 401 DNA Building 238 Archbishop Flores St. Hagåtña, Guam 96910





То:	Mary A.Y. Okada, Ed.D President Guam Community College P.O. Box 23069 GMF, Guam 96921	From:	Doris Flores Brooks Guam Public Auditor Office of Public Accountability			
Phone: Fax:	(671) 735-5542 / 5540 (671) 734-100 3	Pages:	5 (including cover page)			
CC:	Cabot Mantanona LLP (Attorney for Guam Community College)	Date:	May 27, 2016			
Phone: Fax:	(671) 646-2001 (671) 646-0777	Phone: Fax:	(671) 475-0390 x. 208 (671) 472-7951			
CC:	John Richard Bordallo Bell (Attorney for 1-A-Guam WEBZ)					
Phone: Fax:	(671) 646-5722/3 (671) 646-5721					
Re:	OPA-PA-16-002 Decision & Order RE Purchasing Agency's Motion to Strike and Motion for Expeditious Disposition (Dismissal) on the Merits					
☐ Urgent	☐ For Review ☐ Please Com	ment 🗸	Please Reply Please Recycle			
Comments:						
Please acknowledge receipt of this transmittal by re-sending this cover page along with your firm						
or agency's receipt stamp, date, and initials of receiver.						
Thank you,						
			Jerrick Hernandez			
			Auditor			
			jhernandez@guamopa.com			

This facsimile transmission and accompanying documents may contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient of this fax transmission, please call our office and notify us immediately. Do not distribute or disclose the contents to anyone. Thank you.



OFFICE OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTABILITY

Doris Flores Brooks, CPA, CGFM Public Auditor

PROCUREMENT APPEALS

IN THE APPEAL OF,) APPEAL NO: OPA-PA-16-002
1-A GuamWEBZ,	DECISION & ORDER RE PURCHASING AGENCY'S MOTION TO STRIKE AND MOTION FOR EXPEDITIOUS
Appellant) DISPOSTION (DISMISSAL) ON THE) MERITS

To: Purchasing Agency:

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Guam Community College C/O Rebecca J. Wrightson Cabot Mantanona LLP 929 S. Marine Corps Drive, Suite 200 Tamuning, Guam, 96913 Facsimile: (671) 646-0777

Appellant:

1-a GuamWEBZ C/O John R.B. Bell, Esq. 341 South Marine Corps Drive, RK Plaza, Suite 309 Tamuning, Guam, 96913 Facsimile: (671) 646-5721

THIS MATTER, came before the Hearing Officer on May 20, 2016 for a hearing on the Purchasing Agency's Motion to Strike and Motion for Expeditious Disposition (Dismissal) on the Merits. The Purchasing Agency was represented by its Counsel of Record, REBECCA J. WRIGHTSON, ESQ. RHAJ SHARMA appeared on behalf of the Appellant and was represented by Appellant's Counsel of Record, JOHN R.B. BELL, ESQ. After reviewing the record in this matter and after hearing the arguments of the parties, the Hearing Officer hereby FINDS and ORDERS the following:

1. Purchasing Agency's Motion to Strike. As a preliminary matter, the Hearing Officer

27

28

must decide the Purchasing Agency's Motion to Strike pages 3-6 of the Appellant's Opposition to the Purchasing Agency's Motion for Expeditious Disposition (Dismissal) on the Merits. Pages 3-6 of the Appellant's Opposition contain the Appellant's 12 paragraph argument that its protest was timely filed. The Purchasing Agency alleges that the Appellant's arguments concerning the timeliness of its appeal are not truly an opposition to its motion, but a sur-rebuttal to the Purchasing Agency's Rebuttal to the Appellant's Comments on the Agency Report filed in this matter. Page 4, Purchasing Agency's Motion to Strike filed in this matter. The Appellant argues that its Opposition's timeliness arguments are valid because the Purchasing Agency raised the issue of timeliness in its Motion for Expeditious Disposition and the Hearing Officer agrees. Albeit, the Purchasing Agency's Motion for Expeditious Disposition does state that it was making its arguments separate and apart from the issue of whether the Appellant's Protest was timely, there is language elsewhere in the motion justifying Appellant's timeliness arguments in its opposition. Page 4, Purchasing Agency's Motion for Expeditious Disposition. Specifically, the motion sets forth the factual contention that: "Despite the fact that GuamWEBZ [Appellant] should have known that the IFB's contract would be awarded to WSI when the bids were publically opened and made available on February 15, 2016, GuamWEBZ waited until March 10 to file a bid protest." Page 3, Id. Hence, the Hearing Officer finds that this language asserts the Purchasing Agency's argument that the Appellant's Protest was untimely. Further, the Purchasing Agency's placement of this factual contention in the background section of its motion does not justify striking the Appellant's arguments concerning timeliness because it is very clear from the Motion for Expeditious Disposition and the Appellant's Opposition to that motion that the parties have extremely divergent views concerning this issue. The Hearing Officer finds that the insertion of the Purchasing Agency's factual contention that the Appellant's Protest was untimely in the background section of its motion opened the door for the Appellant to argue its factual contention that its protest was timely in the Appellant's opposition. Accordingly, the Purchasing Agency's Motion to Strike is hereby DENIED.

2. The Purchasing Agency's Motion for Expeditious Disposition. Generally, the Hearing Officer has the authority to settle, simplify, or fix the issues in a proceeding, or to consider other matters that may aid in the expeditious disposition of a proceedings either by

25

26

27

28

consent of the parties or upon such officer's own motion. 2 G.A.R., Div. 4, Chap. 12, §12109(a). Additionally, such authority may be used to find that there are no genuine issues of material fact concerning an issue when the facts are clear from the record and the parties do not dispute them. *In the Appeal of Korondo Corp.*, OPA-PA-15-009, Decision and Order Re Appellant's Motion for Summary Judgment, dated December 3, 2015, page 2 (Office of Public Accountability).

The Purchasing Agency argues that there are no genuine issues of material fact concerning the following issues: (1) Whether WSI qualified for the local procurement preference; (2) Whether the Purchasing Agency correctly evaluated the Appellant and WSI's bids; and (3) Whether the Purchasing Agency was required to disclose WSI's propriety information in its bid to the Appellant. Page 4, Purchasing Agency's Reply to Opposition to Motion for Expeditious Disposition. However, after reviewing the record in this matter, it is apparent that the Parties dispute each and every one of these issues. The Purchasing Agency argues that WSI qualified for the local procurement preference. Page 5, Statement Answering Allegations of the Appeal, Agency Report filed on April 12, 2016. However, the Appellant argues that WSI could not have qualified for the local procurement preference at bid opening because it lacked a Guam Business License. Page 3, Appellant's Comments to Purchasing Agency's Report filed on April 15, 2016. Further, the Purchasing Agency argues that its evaluation of the Appellant and WSI's bids was proper. Page 7, Agency Report. In contrast, the Appellant argues that in several instances, the Purchasing Agency's evaluation team failed to give the Appellants bid proper credit for various aspects of its bid. Page 8, paragraph 16, Appeal filed on March 28, 2016. Appellant also argues that WSI's Proposal failed to meet several requirements of the bid. Page 10, paragraph 22, Id. The Purchasing Agency argues that it was not required to disclose the entirety of WSI's bid to the Appellant because a portion of it concerned proprietary data. Page 8, Statement Answering Allegations of the Appeal, Agency Report. The Appellant responds by arguing that portions of WSI's bid were improperly labeled "Confidential."

As to the Purchasing Agency's argument that any procedural deficiencies should be waived as a minor informalities pursuant to 2 G.A.R., Div. 4, Chap. 3, §3109(m)(4)(B), there has been no finding in this matter by the Public Auditor that any procedural deficiencies have occurred to determine whether such deficiencies are minor informalities. As to the Purchasing

Agency's argument that the Purchasing Agency's Award of the IFB Contract to WSI should be ratified and affirmed because its in the best interest of the Government of Guam, pursuant to 5 G.C.A. §5452, there has been no finding in the matter by the Public Auditor that the solicitation or award of the contract violated Guam's Procurement Laws and Regulations. Therefore, the Hearing Officer finds that both these arguments are untimely and shall not be decided at this stage in the proceedings.

Based on the foregoing, the Hearing Officer finds that the parties dispute each of the issues raised in the this appeal and their underlying facts. Accordingly, the Purchasing Agency's Motion for Expeditious Disposition is hereby DENIED. The parties may still stipulate to those facts pursuant to 2 G.A.R., Div. 4, Chap. 12, §12108(d) at or prior to the hearing. Otherwise, they will have to meet their respective burdens of proving their respective contentions of fact at the hearing for the Appellant's Appeal in this matter.

SO ORDERED this 27th day of May, 2016 by:

ANTHONY R. CAMACHO, ESQ.

Hearing Officer

9502004500 P.01/01

TRANSACTION REPORT

MAY/27/2016/FRI 02:27 PM

BROADCAST

#	DATE	START T.	RECEIVER	COM.TIME	PAGE	TYPE/NO	ΤE			FILE
001	MAY/27	02:21PM	7341004			MEMORY	NO	RESPONSE		7136
002		02:22PM	6460777	0:02:27	5	MEMORY	ОК		G 3	7136
003		02:25PM	6465721	0:01:07	5	MEMORY	OK		SG3	7136
т	DTAL	L	L	0:03:34	1	0				

TRANSMISSION ERROR OCCURRED AT SOME RECEIVERS. PLEASE GIVE THIS TRANSACTION REPORT TO SENDER.

Suite 401 DNA Building 238 Archbishop Flores St. Hagåtña, Guam 96910



FAX

To:	Mary A.Y. Okada, Ed.D President Guam Community College P.O. Box 23069 GMF, Guam 96921	From:	Doris Flores Brooks Guam Public Auditor Office of Public Accountability		
Phone: Fax:	(671) 735-5542 / 5540 (671) 734-1004	Pages:	5 (including cover page)		
CC:	Cabot Mantanona LLP (Attorney for Guam Community College)	Date:	May 27, 2016		
Phone: Fax:	(671) 646-2001 (671) 646-0777	Phone: Fax:	(671) 475-0390 x. 208 (671) 472-7951		
CC:	John Richard Bordallo Bell (Attorney for I-A-Guam WEBZ)				
Phone: Fax:	(671) 646-5722/3 (671) 646-5721				
Re:	OPA-PA-16-002 Decision & Order RE Purchasing Agency's Motion to Strike and Motion for Expeditious Disposition (Dismissal) on the Merits				
□ Urgent	nt □ For Review □ Please Comment ✔ Please Reply □ Please Recycle				

Comments:

Please acknowledge receipt of this transmittal by re-sending this cover page along with your firm or agency's receipt stamp, date, and initials of receiver.

Thank you,
Jerrick Hernandez
Auditor

9502004500 P.01/01

TRANSACTION REPORT

MAY/27/2016/FRI 04:07 PM

FAX(TX)

								Γ
#	DATE	START T.	RECEIVER	COM.TIME	PAGE	TYPE/NOTE		FILE
001	MAY/27	04:05PM	7341003	0:02:24	5	MEMORY OK	G 3	7148

Suite 401 DNA Building 238 Archbishop Flores St. Hagåtña, Guam 96910



FAX

To:	Mary A.Y. Okada, Ed.D President Guam Community College P.O. Box 23069 GMF, Guam 96921	From:	Doris Flores Brooks Guam Public Auditor Office of Public Accountability			
Phone: Fax:	(671) 735-5542 / 5540 (671) 734-100 3	Pages:	5 (including cover page)			
CC:	Cabot Mantanona LLP (Attorney for Guam Community College)	Date:	May 27, 2016			
Phone: Fax:	(671) 646-2001 (671) 646-0777	Phone: Fax:	(671) 475-0390 x. 208 (671) 472-7951			
CC:	John Richard Bordallo Bell (Attorney for 1-A-Guam WEBZ)					
Phone: Fax:	(671) 646-5722/3 (671) 646-5721					
Re:	OPA-PA-16-002 Decision & Order RE Purchasing Agency's Motion to Strike and Motion for Expeditious Disposition (Dismissal) on the Merits					
□ Urgent	☐ For Review ☐ Please Comment ✔ Please Reply ☐ Please Recycle					
Comments:						

Please acknowledge receipt of this transmittal by re-sending this cover page along with your firm or agency's receipt stamp, date, and initials of receiver.

Thank you,
Jerrick Hernandez
Auditor
jhernandez@guamopa.com