1 2 3 4	FISHER & ASSOCIATES Thomas J. Fisher, Esq. Suite 101 De La Corte Building 167 East Marine Corps Drive Hagåtña, Guam 96910 Telephone: (671) 472-1131 Facsimile: (671) 472-2886 RECEIVED OFFICE OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTABILITY PROCUREMENT APPEALS DATE: 07.28.16 TIME: 3'.20 DAM DPM BY: 17.10 FILE NO OPA-PA: 16.00
5	BEFORE THE OFFICE OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTABILITY HAGÅTÑA, GUAM
7	IN THE APPEAL OF) OPA-PA-16-009
9	PURESTONE, LLC
11) AGENCY REPORT
12 13 14	APPELLANT)
15	COMES NOW Agency Guam Economic Development Authority by and through
16	Fisher & Associates and submits an Agency Report pursuant to 12 Guam Code
17	Ann. §12105. Because the Chamorro Land Trust Commission is an Agency
18	intimately involved in this matter, the Guam Economic Development Authority
19	believes its presence desirable. GEDA therefore asks the Office of Public
20	Accountability to summons it to answer to this Appeal.
21	
22	FISHER & ASSOCIATES
23	Thomas J. Fisher, Esq.
25	For GEDA

1	I.	A Copy of the Protest	
2		Please see Notice of Appeal, Purestone LLC, 13 July 2016 at Exhibit 2.	
3			
4	II.	A Copy of the Bid or Offer, a Copy of the Bid or Offer that is being	
5		Considered for Award, a copy of all Other Bids.	
6		Please see Agency Procurement Record filed 20 July 2016 for all offers.	
7			
8	III.	A Copy of the Solicitation.	
9	-	Please see Agency Procurement Record filed 20 July 2016.	
10			
11	IV.	A Copy of the Abstract of Bids or Offers.	
12		Please see Agency Procurement Record filed 20 July 2016.	
13			
14	V.	Any Other Documents which are Relevant to the Protest	
15		Please see Agency Procurement Record filed 20 July 2016.	
16			
17	VI.	The Decision from which the Appeal is Taken.	
18		Please see Agency Procurement Record filed 20 July 2016.	
19	3711	A Statement Anguaring the Allegation of the Annual	
20	VII.	A Statement Answering the Allegation of the Appeal.	
21	A. T	ne Guam Economic Development Authority's Interpretation of Public Law	
22	33-95	is Correct.	
23		Recently enacted Public Law 33-95 voided "any solicitation for interest or	
24	proposals, for commercial activity on CLTC land with the intent of entering		
25	into a	commercial lease" See 21 Guam Code Ann. §75122(b)(6). Purestone	
	LLC	believes the purpose of RFP 14-002 is to control the creation of	

commercial activity pursuant to a lease but not a license. GEDA asserts that this is an overly restrictive reading of the statute.

Where the purpose of the public law is to restrict unregulated use and disposition of Chamorro Land Trust property, the Legislature's intent was to control commercial activity whether it be pursuant to a license or lease. In any case, the type of activity proposed results in a physical alteration, occupation, taking away, and destruction of the property. This has many of the attributes of a leasehold and fewer of a license. GEDA asserts that the Chamorro Land Trust Commission has come to this conclusion and determined that termination of the Request for Proposals is the proper course. *See Agency Procurement Record filed 20 July 2016, Vol. I at Tab Q.*

B. The Guam Economic Development Authority has not Violated Guam Procurement Law in Cancelling this Solicitation.

Purestone LLC. believes that the GEDA has violated 5 Guam Code Ann. §5225 and 2 Guam Admin. R. and Reg. §3115(d)(2) in the cancellation of this solicitation. Section 5225 states, "An Invitation for Bids, a Request for Proposals, or other solicitation may be cancelled, or any or all bids or proposals may be rejected in whole or in part as may be specified in the solicitation, when it is in the best interests of the Territory in accordance with regulations promulgated by the Policy Office. The reasons therefor shall be made part of the contract file." *Id, emphasis added*. Regulations state,

After opening, but prior to award, <u>all bids or proposals may be rejected in whole or in part when the Chief Procurement Officer, the Director of Public Works, or the head of a Purchasing Agency determines in writing that such action is in the territory's best interest for reasons including, but not limited to:</u>

- (i) the supplies, services, or construction being procured are no longer required;
- (ii) ambiguous or otherwise inadequate specifications were part of the solicitation;
- (iii) the solicitation did not provide for consideration of all factors or significance to the territory;
- (iv) prices exceed available funds and it would not be appropriate to adjust quantities to come within available funds;
- (v) all otherwise acceptable bids or proposals received are at clearly unreasonable prices; or
- (vi) there is reason to believe that the bids or proposals may not have been independently arrived at in open competition, may have been collusive, and may have been submitted in bad faith.
- 2 Guam Admin. R. and Reg. §3115(d)(2), emphasis added.

Here, the solicitation specifically reserved the agency's right to cancel or terminate the solicitation upon the Agency's determination which it exercised. *See Agency Procurement Record filed 20 July 2016, Vol. I at Tab B, page 15.*By responding to the Solicitation, Purestone accepted that very reservation. It cannot now complain that the GEDA followed and executed a term of the Solicitation to which it agreed.

Additionally, the assertion that the cancellation of this solicitation violates statute and regulation misses the mark. The cancellation is attributable to a change in law (*see supra*); the CLTC and GEDA merely comply with that law. Whether this statutory requirement is in the best interest of the Territory is, in this instance, a question best put to the Guam Legislature and is not a proper subject for non-Legislative review. There may be many reasons, historical,

societal and cultural for preserving Chamorro lands intact. It is not necessarily an argument of economy that wins the day.

Purestone LLC. also states that the Agency did not act in good faith in the administration of this procurement. Purestone makes no argument on this point though and offers no evidence in support. Please note that neither the CLTC nor GEDA had any foreknowledge that the Guam Legislature would enact legislation voiding the solicitation. The Agencies have done nothing more than abide by the law and their interpretation of the language of the solicitation. An assertion of bad faith simply fails.

VIII. A Determination Required under 2 GAR §9101(e).

Not applicable.

IX. A Statement Indicating whether the Matter is the Subject of a Court Proceeding

The Guam Economic Development Authority agrees with Purestone

LLC's statement that no case or action concerning the subject of this Appeal
has been commenced in court. The Guam Economic Development

Authority agrees to notify the Office of Public Accountability within 24
hours if court action commences regarding this Appeal or the underlying
procurement action.

X. Conclusion

Wherefore the Guam Economic Development Authority Prays that

- A. Purestone LLC be granted no relief and its appeal denied.
- B. That the Chamorro Land Trust Commission be summonsed to answer to this Appeal.

Thomas J. Fisher Counsel, GEDA