



Jerrick Hernandez <jhernandez@guamopa.com>

---

## Appeal No. OPA-PA21-011 Brief on Pro Se

---

GPE INC <guampacific@gmail.com>

Wed, Jan 12, 2022 at 12:07 PM

To: Jerrick Hernandez <jhernandez@guamopa.com>, "Camacho, Anthony" <arcamacho@triton.uog.edu>

Cc: Cynthia Guerrero <cguerrero@triton.uog.edu>, "Gumataotao, Emily" <eggumataotao@triton.uog.edu>

Dear Mr. Hernandez,

Please see attached Brief on Pro Se Representation and confirm receipt of this email.


Very Respectfully,

Sedfrey M. Linsangan  
President  
Guam Pacific Enterprise, Inc.  
Tel: (671) 649-6994/7/8



*This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error, please notify the system manager. This message contains confidential information and is intended only for the individual named. If you are not the named addressee, you should not disseminate, distribute or copy this email. Please notify the sender immediately by email if you have received this email by mistake and delete this email from your system. If you are not the intended recipient, you are notified that disclosing, copying, distributing or taking any action in reliance on the contents of this information is strictly prohibited.*

---

 **Appeal No. OPA-PA21-011 Brief on Pro Se.pdf**  
540K

Sedfrey M. Linsangan  
P.O. Box 23128, Barrigada,  
Guam 96921  
Pro Se

BEFORE THE PUBLIC AUDITOR  
PROCUREMENT APPEALS  
TERRITORY OF GUAM

In the Appeal of  
Guam Pacific Ent. Inc.  
Appellant  
v.  
University of Guam

Appeal No. OPA-BA 21-011  
Brief on Pro Se Representation


Memorandum of Points and Authorities

Pursuant to Section 1900 of Chapter 19 Public Auditor.

"There is an instrumentality of the government of Guam, independent of the Executive, legislative and judicial branches, known as the Office of the Public Auditor." Therefore the practice of Pro Se Appellant since the OPA or Public was established is legally allowed due to being an independent body.

I have represented my company on 2 appeals, same with other Pro Se Appellants. OPA would be partial if it would not allowed Pro Se representation in the future. Eliminating Pro Se will discourage future Appellants due to the legal fee services which will continue the wrong practices of Gov. Guam Agencies on procurement. It is essential that Pro Se continue to file appeal for the implementation of check and balance.

Dated: 1/12/22

Respectfully Submitted  
  
Sedfrey M. Linsangan  
Pro Se