Pacific Data Systems DOCKET NO: OPA-PA-18-004 INTERESTED PARTY HEARING BRIEF RECEIVED OFFICE OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTABILITY **PACIFIC DATA SYSTEMS PROCUREMENT APPEALS** 1 185 ILIPOG DRIVE DATE: Dec 28, 2018 **HBC BLDG SUITE 204A** 2 TIME: 4:27 DAM MPM BY: FOU TAMUNING, GUAM 96913 TELEPHONE: (671) 300-0200 FILE NO OPA-PA: 18 -004 3 FACSIMILE: (671) 300-0265 4 **BEFORE THE** 5 OFFICE OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTABILITY 6 PROCUREMENT PETITION 7 8 IN THE PETITION OF DOCKET NO: OPA-PA-18-004 9 TELEGUAM HOLDINGS, LLC dba GTA 10 INTERESTED PARTY RESPONSE TO **Appellant** TELEGUAM HOLDINGS, LLC dba GTA 11 REPLIES TO OPPOSITION TO HEARING **BRIEF** 12 13 Comes now Pacific Data Systems (PDS) to provide its reply response to the 14 Opposition to Hearing Brief submitted by Teleguam Holdings, LLC (GTA) through its 15 16 counsel on the Teleguam Holdings, LLC, (dba GTA) Appeal related to the General 17 Services Agency (GSA) Invitation For Bid GSA-064-11. 18 19 **OPPOSITION COMMENTS** 20 PDS opposes GTA's position that these proposed awards must be cancelled and 21 provides the following specific points of comments related to GTA's most recent Hearing 22 filing with the OPA: 23 24 25

1. This is GTA's Effort for a "Second Chance" to Litigate and Delay this Procurement: With this protest and appeal, GTA seeks to recast previously litigated issues and arguments as "new". The Public Auditor, the Superior Court and even the Supreme Court of Guam have all ruled on the matter and a final determination has been made that, with the exception of Part E, all other parts of the bid are affirmed because GTA did not originally timely commence an action in the Superior Court. GTA should not now be given a "second chance" to litigate these issues when the time to do so has expired and a final determination has been made.

2. GTA's Protest is Invalid: GTA's Reply comments seek to dismiss the importance of the June 6, 2018 GSA letter and June 8, 2018 GTA response that referenced the recent Guam Supreme Court decision on this procurement. GSA requested that GTA confirm its original bid. Confirmation was needed by GSA since the original time for the validity of the bid (90 days) had passed. 5 GCA §5212(f)¹ allows for the bidder to withdraw its bid after the expiration of the required validity date. When asked by GSA on June 6, 2018 to confirm its bid, GTA answered "NO" on June 8, 2018². GTA also included a comment regarding its concern over awards being made in this procurement but DID NOT PROTEST THIS ACTION BY GSA. GTA's Reply Comments state the basis of

¹ 5 GCA §5212(f) states "(f) Withdrawal of Bids: After the bids are opened, they shall be irrevocable for the period specified in the Invitation for Bids, except as provided in §§ 5211(f) of this Chapter. If a bidder is permitted to withdraw its bid before award, no action shall be had against the bidder or the bid security.

² See PDS Exhibit E – PDS Interested Party Hearing Brief dated December 14, 2018.

the current protest is: "Again, the basis for GTA's protest is GSA's official Action made on June 28, 2018."

This exchange between GSA and GTA is clear and vital in this case. GSA's letter of June 6, 2018 makes clear that GSA is confirming awards to be made to GTA for sections affirmed by the Supreme Court. GTA clearly understood what GSA was doing by the comments they included with their response, and yet did not take this opportunity to Protest this action by GSA⁴. Instead, GTA refuses to confirm its bid, effectively withdrawing its bid from further consideration for an award, as was GTA's right to do.

GTA's own action by stating "NO" in its June 8, 2018 response to GSA and inaction for failing to Protest the GSA awards planned by GSA in procurement are both significant reasons to declare the GTA Protest as invalid and denying this protest and Appeal by GTA. GTA's NO response caused its bid to be withdrawn and forfeiture of its Right to Protest (reference 5 GCA §5425(a)) and GTA's inaction to protest within the 14 days required by the same section made any protest of these issues after this period untimely.

³ reference page 3 of GTA Reply Comments

⁴ 5 GCA §5425(a) requires that a bidder that may be aggrieved in connection with a bid may protest and "The protest shall be submitted in writing with fourteen (14) days after such aggrieved person knows or should know of the facts give rise thereto.

Pacific Data Systems
DOCKET NO: OPA-PA-18-004
INTERESTED PARTY HEARING BRIEF

SUMMARY

GTA's protest is invalid due to its withdrawal of its bid and failure to make its filing in the time allowed. If the OPA finds that the GTA Protest was properly filed, the content of the filing is without merit since the issues have been previously litigated through the procurement appeals and judiciary process. For these reasons, the GTA Appeal should be **DENIED** with a legal foundation that precludes the potential for further judicial appeals.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 28th day of December 2018.

PACIFIC DATA SYSTEMS

JOHN DAY President