

1 **D. GRAHAM BOTHA**
2 **Guam Power Authority**
3 **1911 Army Drive, Suite 227**
4 **Tamuning, Guam 96913**
5 **Ph: (671) 648-3203/3002**
6 **Fax: (671) 648-3290**

7
8 *Attorney for the Guam Power Authority*
9

ORIGINAL

RECEIVED
OFFICE OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTABILITY
PROCUREMENT APPEALS

DATE: 12-6-13

TIME: 5:05 AM PM BY: JB

FILE NO. OPA-PA: 13-015

OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC AUDITOR
PROCUREMENT APPEALS

14
15 IN THE APPEAL OF) **DOCKET NO. OPA-PA-13-015**
16)
17 MORRICO EQUIPMENT, LLC,) **OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR**
18) **EVIDENTIARY RULING**
19 Appellant.)
20 _____)
21

22
23 **COMES NOW**, the GUAM POWER AUTHORITY, by and through its counsel of
24 record, D. GRAHAM BOTHA, ESQ., and hereby files its opposition to Appellant's motion for an
25 evidentiary ruling, authorizing request to admit to GPA, or an order to depose witness.

26
27 Morrigo requests that the attached exhibits be used presumably for the purpose to establish
28 that Terex Utilities has terminated its distributorship with Mid Pac Far East. It also claims that the
29 termination is relevant to the issues on appeal. Exhibit B also indicates that if Mid Pac Far East
30 requests a quote from Terex for an installed product on a MPFE supplied chassis for Guam, Terex
31 will provide MPFE a quotation.

32 While the OPA may not be bound by the technical rules of evidence, there is nothing in the
33 rules that requires the OPA to accept anything offered by a party, without examining the relevance
34 of the documents, and determining whether the documents need to be offered in accordance with
35 the normal rules of evidence. The OPA has already given a scheduling order for the parties, which
36 requires the parties to provide pre-trial briefs establishing each party's position on different issues.

1 Morrico can state its issue with the documents in its pre-trial brief, and state its argument at that
2 time with regard to the letter and e-mail in Exhibit A and B in its motion. Morrico first argues that
3 the OPA should not be bound by the technical rules of evidence, and next argues that GPA should
4 respond according the Guam Rules of Evidence to a request for admissions, which is not contained
5 in the OPA hearing rules.

6 GPA requests that OPA deny the request submitted by appellant Morrico, and allow them
7 to state their position in their pre-trial brief.

8
9 **RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED** this 5th day of December, 2013.

10
11
12 / s /

13
14 D. GRAHAM BOTHA, ESQ.
15 GPA Legal Counsel
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28
29 _____
30 D. GRAHAM BOTHA, ESQ.
Legal Counsel for GPA