RECEIVED OFFICE OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTABILITY 1 GUAM DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION PROCUREMENT APPEALS James L.G. Stake DATE: 09/06/2010 2 500 Mariner Avenue Barrigada, Guam 96913 TIME: 3:30 DAM DPM BY: AR 3 Telephone (671) 300-1537 FILE NO OPA-PA: W. NA Email: legal-admin@gdoe.net 4 Attorney for Guam Department of Education 5 BEFORE THE OFFICE OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTABILITY 6 7 8 IN THE APPEAL OF APPEAL NO.: OPA-PA-16-012 9 ALVAREZ & MARSAL PUBLIC SECTOR SUBMISSION OF AGENCY REPORT 10 SERVICES, LLC, 11 Appellant. 12 The Guam Department of Education ("GDOE"), the purchasing agency in this matter, 13 hereby submits the following exhibits as the Agency Report required by 2 GAR §12105: 14 A copy of the protest. 15 a. 16 A copy of the protest is included in the Procurement Record as Exhibit 22, Bates Stamp Nos. GDOE 0849-0852. 17 b. A copy of the bid or offer submitted by the Appellant and a copy of the bid or 18 offer that is being considered for award or whose bid or offer is being protested, if any had been 19 submitted prior to the protest. 20 A copy of the Appellant's bid is included in the Procurement Record as Exhibit 21 10, Bates Stamp Nos. GDOE 0432-0496. A copy of the solicitation, including the specifications or portions thereof relevant 22 c. to the Appeal: 23 24 A copy of GDOE RFP 005-2016 is included in the Procurement Record as Exhibit 6, Bates Stamp Nos. GDOE 0012-0064. All Amendments are included 25 in the Procurement Record as Exhibit 8, Bates Stamp Nos. GDOE 0067-0071. d. A copy of the abstract of bids or offers or relevant or portions thereof relevant to 26 27 the protest.

Page 1 of 2

In the Appeal of Alvarez & Marsal Public Sector Services, LLC, Appellant Appeal No. OPA-PA-16-012 **Submission of Agency Report**

Page 2 of 2

28

Exhibit 24

1	GUAM DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION James L.G. Stake
2	500 Mariner Avenue Barrigada, Guam 96913
3	Telephone (671) 300-1537 Email: legal-admin@gdoe.net
4	Attorney for Guam Department of Education
5	
6	BEFORE THE OFFICE OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTABILITY
7	IN THE APPEAL OF APPEAL NO.: OPA-PA-16-012
8	
9	ALVAREZ & MARSAL PUBLIC SECTOR SERVICES, LLC, AGENCY STATEMENT
10	Appellant.
11	
12	
13	Comes now the Guam Department of Education (GDOE) by and through its counsel and
14	files its Agency Report and Statement pursuant to title 2 of the Guam Administrative Rules and
15	Regulations (GAR) Div. 4 §12105(g) in response to appeal by Alvarez & Marsal Public Secto
16	Services, LLC. (Appellant).
17	I. BACKGROUND
18	This appeal corresponds to GDOE's Request for proposal (RFP) 005-2016 for Third Party
19	Fiduciary Oversight and Funds Management Services. These professional services would
20	provide third-party fiduciary oversight and funds management services for all Federal Fund
21	awarded by the U.S. Department of Education (USDOE) to GDOE. See RFP 005-2016, Section
22	2.1 p. 4. Section 2.1 Project Title and Overall Scope of RFP 005-2016, states the intent of thi
23	section of the RFP is to provide interested Offerors with sufficient information to enable them to
24	submit a proposal for services that will fulfill the specified requirements of the GDOE and
25	USDOE. Id. GDOE was designated as a high risk grantee by USDOE and as a result GDOE i
26	subject to special conditions for all Federal education programs it administers. Id. As a part of
27	
28	

GDOE's special conditions, GDOE is required to contract for a Third Party Fiduciary Agent (TPFA), acceptable to USDOE. *Id.*

On March 21, 2016 GDOE issued RFP 005-2016. On May 6, 2016 GDOE then distributed Amendment 2 that extended the deadline for proposals from May 6 to May 11, 2016. *See* Amendment 2 p.2. On July 5, 2016 GDOE issued the Proposal Status to offerors for RFP 005-2016, which included offerors ranking at that point in time. On July 18, 2016 GDOE received a protest from Appellant, GDOE consequently put a stay on the procurement process. On August 4, 2016 GDOE responded to Appellant's protest denying the Appellant's protest in its entirety.

II. GDOE PROPERLY EXTENDED THE DEADLINE FOR PROPOSALS FOR RFP 005-2016 IN ORDER TO MAXIMIZE COMPETITION AND RECEIVE THE BEST VALUE IN THE INTEREST OF THE TERRITORY

As stated above, on May 6 GDOE extended the deadline for proposals from May 6 to May 11. GDOE executed this extension by and through Amendment 2 of RFP 005-2016. Section 2.2.8 of the RFP, titled right to amend and cancel this RFP, states GDOE reserves the right to amend, supplement, or cancel the RFP, in whole or in part at any time. *See* RFP 005-2016, Section 2.2.8 p. 11.

Title 2 GAR §3102(a) extension of time for bid or acceptance, states after opening bids or proposals, the Procurement Officer may request bidders or offerors to extend the time during which the territory may accept their bids or proposals. Title 5 of the Guam Code Annotated (GCA) §5001(b)(5) & (6) Purposes, Rules of Constructions, states that the underlying purpose of the Guam Procurement Code is to provide increased economy in territorial activities and to maximize to the fullest extent practicable the purchasing value of public funds of the Territory, and to foster effective broad-based competition within the free enterprise system. GDOE's reason for extending the deadline for proposals for RFP 005-2016 was to maximize competition so that GDOE could obtain the greatest value for funds expended, in the best interest of the territory. *Id.*

Appellant argues that they were prejudiced by the extension because it "gave other bidders the advantage of additional time to provide and submit their proposals." *See* Appellant's Notice of Appeal p.3. Appellant alleges that the extension was a deliberate attempt to give an advantage to one [offeror]. *Id.* Appellant's interpretation is incorrect and without merit. Guam Procurement Rules and Regulations permit an extension of time for acceptance of proposals. *See* 2 GAR §3102(a). GDOE's purpose for extending the deadline was to maximize competition to the fullest extent practicable and to foster as much competition within the free enterprise system as protected and encouraged by Guam Procurement Law. *See* 5 GCA §5001(b).

III. GDOE HAS YET TO AWARD AN OFFEROR

Section 2.1 of RFP 005-2016 states that GDOE is required to contract a TPFA, acceptable to USDOE. See RFP 005-2016, Section 2.1 p. 4. On July 18, Appellant filed their protest at the agency level and GDOE immediately stayed the procurement process. GDOE has yet to issue an intent to award an offeror for RFP 005-2016 and USDOE has not approved any offeror. See GDOE's Motion to Dismiss. Both GDOE's approval and, more importantly, USDOE's approval are required in order for GDOE to select an offeror for RFP 005-2016.

Appellant's relevant relief requested to this section includes (1) any bid award, issuance of purchase orders and/or contracts be stayed, (2) that GDOE rescind their determination of the most qualified offeror, and (3) that appellant be determined to be the most qualified offeror. RFP 005-2016 has been stayed since July 18. GDOE's determination of the most qualified offeror serves as an internal score, however GDOE would still need to complete negotiations, and obtain approval from USDOE before GDOE can execute a contract with an offeror. Should an order be granted in the Appellant's favor to be the most qualified offeror, this act would again require USDOE's approval before a contract could be executed in order to receive federal funds. For the foregoing reasons, that GDOE has not yet issued an award and that GDOE would not issue an award without USDOE's approval first, therefore, this matter is not ripe and is premature for review and should be dismissed.

3

4

5

67

8

9

1011

12

13

14

16

15

17

18

19

2021

2223

24

25

26

27

28

IV. GDOE PROPERLY WITHHELD PRIVILEGED INFORMATION FROM APPELLANT'S REQUEST FOR DISCLOSURE

Relative to this dispute, GDOE has not issued a letter of intent to award and USDOE has yet to approve any offeror. *See* Decl. Carmen Taitano. Because the subject of this appeal is an RFP and GDOE has not issued an award, additional issues are present. Appellant incorrectly alleges that they have lawful right to confidential information contained in RFP 005-2016. *See* Appellant's Opposition to GDOE's Motion for Expedited Appeal p. 8. However, a plain reading of the law supports GDOE's position. Title 2 GAR §3114(i)(2) entitled No Disclosure of Information states:

Discussions shall not disclose any information derived from proposals submitted by other offerors, and the agency conducting the procurement shall not disclose any information contained in any proposals until after award of the proposed contract has been made. The proposal of the awarded contract shall be opened to public inspection except as otherwise provided in the contract.

The law specifically states that the agency conducting the procurement (here, GDOE) shall not disclose any information contained in any proposals until after award of the proposed contract has been made. Appellant argues that this section is of limited application, "as it refers only to information disclosed in discussions between an agency and an offeror." *See* Appellant's Opposition to GDOE's Motion for Expedited Appeal p. 7. The title of §3114(i)(2) is "No Disclosure of Information," coupled with the plain reading of the law, "the agency conducting the procurement shall not disclose any information contained in any proposal until after award of the proposed contract has been made" clearly precludes GDOE from disclosing other offeror's information and any disclosure to any unauthorized persons would directly violate §3114(i)(2).

Furthermore, 2 GAR §3114(h)(1) titled Receipt and Handling of Proposals states in relevant part:

Proposals shall not be opened publicly nor disclosed to unauthorized persons, but shall be opened in the presence of two or more procurement officials. A Register of Proposals shall be established which shall include for all proposals, the name of each

offeror, the number of modifications received, if any, and a description sufficient to identify the services offered. The Register of Proposals shall be opened to public inspection only after award of the contract. Proposals of offerors who are not awarded the contract shall not be opened to public inspection.

Appellant in their request for production of documents also asks for all documents relating to <u>all</u> offerors' responses, proposals, questions and answers. Appellant has repeatedly and wrongfully alleged that they are entitled to all offerors' proposals. *See* Appellant's Opposition to GDOE's Motion for Expedited Appeal p. 7. Section 3114(i)(2) and 3114(h)(1) state the proposal of the offeror awarded the contract shall be opened to public inspection. However, it is <u>only</u> the awarded offeror's proposal that is open to inspection, after the award of the contract.

Appellant cited 5 GCA §5250 (GDOE is assuming Appellant meant §5251) arguing that the procurement record, for the yet to be awarded RFP 005-2016, is a public record and any person may inspect and copy any portion of the record. *See* Appellant's Opposition to GDOE's Motion for Expedited Appeal at 7. This is an erroneous interpretation of §5251, and would only serve to completely disrupt the competitive procurement process. Appellant deliberately left out vital language from §5251, which states in its entirety, "The record required by §5249 is a public record and subject to rules promulgated by the Public auditor, any person may inspect and copy any portion of the record." "Subject to rules promulgated by the Public auditor" is critical here because it supports the protection afforded to RFP's by 2 GAR §§ 3114(i)(2) and 3114(h)(1). In other words, §5251 protects the integrity of the procurement process, which in the case of RFP's include keeping proprietary proposals confidential until the award of the contract. Based on a plain reading of the law, GDOE has acted properly by protecting the confidential information for RFP 005-2016.

In summary, Appellant's request for disclosure of other offerors' proposals and evaluation information is tantamount to asking for an illegal order that GDOE violate procurement law and regulations and expose GDOE to litigation. Pursuant to those referenced regulations, GDOE is

award.

V.

18.

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

Page 6 of 7

September 16 hearing, if Appellant desired. Appellant's motive for a drawn out battle is quite

clear; to keep the procurement stay in place for RFP 005-2016 as long as possible because it

prohibited from releasing any information to unauthorized persons regarding proposals until after

any lapse in service for a third party agent and to prevent losing federal funds from USDOE. As

previously mentioned, RFP 005-2016 has been stayed because of Appellant's protest since July

Appeal. Appellant argues if GDOE is concerned that there will be a lapse in service GDOE can

always extend the current and expiring contract with Appellant. See Appellant's Opposition for

GDOE's Motion for Expedited Appeal p. 4. Appellant argues that any delay in the RFP 005-

2016 is result of GDOE's own actions stating that GDOE cannot have it both ways. "It (GDOE)

cannot request an expedited appeal while at the same time refusing to provide public procurement

GDOE from disclosing other offerors information, particularly while an RFP is still open. This is

basic procurement law. Appellant's argument is flawed and is not a legitimate reason to support a

filed a Notice of Pre-Hearing Conference, for this appeal, to be held on September 16.

OPA's Notice of Pre-Hearing Conference. On September 1, 2016, at approximately 3:30pm,

Appellant's Counsel filed a request for continuance of pre-hearing conference because Counsel

will be off-island and requests a new date, September 23. See Appellant's Request for

Appellant has the option of having another representative from Counsel's firm at the

As discussed above, 5 GCA §5251, 2 GAR §3114(i)(2), and 2 GAR §3114(h)(1) prohibit

On September 1, 2016, at approximately 11:30am, the Office of Public Accountability

APPELLANT IS ATTEMPTING TO STALL THE APPEAL PROCESS

On August 29, 2016 GDOE filed a Motion for Expedited Appeal in an attempt to prevent

On August 31, 2016 Appellant filed an opposition to GDOE's Motion for Expedited

records that A & M is entitled to obtain." *Id.* p. 7.

prolonged appeal process.

Continuance of Pre-Hearing Conference.

1 would leave GDOE with no other options but to extend the incumbent contract (which is with the 2 Appellant). Any further delay is in the Appellant's best interest, and the request for a continuance 3 of a pre-hearing on the same day it was issued only further demonstrates that. Because the 4 Appellant does not have a legitimate reason to prolong the process, the motion for an expedited 5 appeal should be granted. 6 In Conclusion, in regards to RFP 005-2016, GDOE properly and legally extended the 7 deadline for proposals to maximize competition, GDOE has yet to issue an award and more 8 importantly USDOE has not approved any offeror for the RFP, and because the RFP is still open 9 GDOE cannot provide information to the Appellant that would violate Guam Procurement law. 10 For the foregoing reason, GDOE respectfully requests that the OPA dismiss the Appellants 11 complaint. 12 Dated this 6th day of September, 2016. 13 Respectfully submitted, 14 GUAM DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION 15 16 17 Legal Counsel 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

Exhibit 25

1 2 3	GUAM DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION James L.G. Stake 500 Mariner Avenue Barrigada, Guam 96913 Telephone (671) 300-1537 Email: legal-admin@gdoe.net
4 5	Attorney for Guam Department of Education
6	BEFORE THE OFFICE OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTABILITY
7	IN THE ADDEAL OF ADDEAL NO CODA DA 16 012
8	IN THE APPEAL OF APPEAL NO.: OPA-PA-16-012
9	ALVAREZ & MARSAL PUBLIC SECTOR SERVICES, LLC, DECLARATION REGARDING COURT ACTION
10	Appellant.
11 12	
13	The undersigned party does hereby confirm that to the best of his knowledge, no case or
14	other action concerning the subject of this Appeal has been commenced in any court of Guam.
15	All parties are required to and the undersigned party agrees to notify the Office of the Public
16	Auditor within twenty-four (24) hours of being informed of the commencement of a court action
17	regarding this Appeal or the underlying procurement action.
18	Dated this 6 th day of September, 2016.
19	Respectfully submitted,
20	GUAM DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
21	
22	By:
23	Legal Counsel
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	