| 1 2 | D. GRAHAM BOTHA, ESQ. Guam Power Authority | RECEIVED OFFICE OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTABILITY PROCUREMENT APPEALS |
|----------------------|---|--|
| 3 4 | 688 Route 15, Suite 302 Mangilao, Guam 96913 | DATE: 06.23.17 |
| 5 | Ph: (671) 648-3203/3002 | TIME: 2'.55 DAM PPM BY: WY |
| 6 | Fax: (671) 648-3290 | FILE NO OPA-PA: 17.006 |
| 7 8 9 | Attorney for the Guam Power Authority | · |
| 10 11 | OFFICE OF THE PUBLIC AUDITOR PROCUREMENT APPEALS | |
| 12 13 | | |
| 14 | IN THE APPEAL OF | DOCKET NO. OPA-PA-17-006 |
| 15 16 17 18 | America's Best Electricmart, Inc., Appellant. |) APPELLEE'S HEARING BRIEF) |
| 19 | | <i>)</i> |
| 20 21 22 | COMES NOW, the GUAM POWER AUTHORITY, by and through its counsel of | |
| 23 | record, D. GRAHAM BOTHA, ESQ., and su | abmits its Hearing Brief for the June 27, 2017, hearing |
| 24 | as follows. | |
| 25 | AI | RGUMENT |
| 26 | Guam Power Authority (GPA) submi | ts that it's decision to cancel the IFB was made |
| 27 | properly as provided for in 5 GCA §5225. Procurement law allows GPA to cancel Invitations for | |
| 28 | Bids or Requests for Proposals. "An Invitation | on for Bids, a Request for Proposals, or other |
| 29 | solicitation may be cancelled, or any or all bi | ds or proposals may be rejected in whole or in part as |
| 30 | may be specified in the solicitation, when it i | s in the best interests of the Territory in accordance |
| 31 | with regulations promulgated by the Policy C | Office. The reasons therefor shall be made part of the |
| 32 | contract file." 5 GCA §5225. In this matter, | after opening the bids and determining that |
| 33 | clarifications were needed on five of the eigh | t bids, including the alternative bid submitted by |
| 34 | Americas Best, GPA determined that the specifications needed to be revised. It is clear, from a | |
| 35 | comparison of the original bid and the re-bid | in IFB-005-17, that GPA revised the specifications. |

1 (Tab 15, pgs. 48, 49). GPA revised the specifications to change the nominal rating requirements

2 for the 150W and 250W LED luminaires, and changed the cost evaluation procedures to include

total life energy cost, and total cost of ownership as a method of evaluation. GPA has met the test

4 set forth in 5 GCA §5225 to cancel the bid, and to rebid with revised specifications.

Americas Best states that the bid should not be cancelled and it should be awarded the bid, as it believes that it was the lowest responsive bidder. No such determination was made by GPA, and the alternative bid submitted by Americas Best was one of five bidders that clarification was requested from the bidders. A closer examination of the Abstract would reveal that the primary bid of Americas Best, simply on a numerical review, was 4th for Item 1, 6th for Item 2, and 2nd for Item 3. If the alternative bid was non-responsive, the primary bid submitted by Americas Best would be highly unlikely to be the lowest bid for Items 1 and 2. The evaluation committee did not meet on either December 16 or December 19, 2016, and did not evaluate the clarification responses received from the bidders. Tab 20.

Procurement law requires that GPA award to the lowest responsible and **responsive** bidders. A responsive bidder is a person who has submitted a bid which conforms in all material respects to the Invitation for Bid. 5 GCA §5201(g) and 2 GAR, Div. 4, Chap. 3, §3109(n)(2). Further, any bidder's offering which does not meet the acceptability requirements shall be rejected as non-responsive. 2 GAR, Div. 4, Chap. 3, §3109(n)(3)(c). No determination was made by the GPA evaluation committee that the alternative bid submitted by Americas Best was the lowest responsible and responsive bidder. GPA, after reviewing the submissions of the eight bidders, as authorized by 5 GCA §5225, made a determination that it was in the best interests of the territory to cancel the bid and re-bid with revised specifications.

| 1 | CONCLUSION | |
|---|--|--|
| 2 | GPA requests that the appeal of Americas Best Electricmart, Inc., be dismissed, and that the | |
| 3 | Public Auditor award all legal and equitable remedies that GPA may be entitled to as a result. | |
| 4 | RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 23rd day of June, 2017, by: | |
| 5 | Ma V 4 2811 | |
| 6 | 10/10/1/a | |
| 7 | D. GRAHAM BOTHA, ESQ. | |
| 8 | GPA General Counsel | |
| | | |