OFFICE OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTABILITY 1 FLAME TREE Freedom Center, Inc. PROCUREMENT APPEALS Eddy Reyes, President 2 DATE: Jul 1,2019 Inarajan GU 96915 Phone: 671-777-1144 TIME: 216 CIAM MPM BY: FW 3 Email: eddy.reyes@ftfcinc.com FILE NO OPA-PA: 19-004 4 BEFORE THE OFFICE OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTABILITY 5 HAGATNA, GUAM 6 IN THE APPEAL OF 7 APPEAL NO: OPA-PA-19-006 8 FLAME TREE FREEDOM CENTER, INC., 9 **OPPOSITION TO GHURA'S MOTION** Appellant, TO DISMISS APPEAL FOR LACK OF 10 **JURISDICTION** 11 12 INTRODUCTION 13 On 21 June 2019, the Guam Housing and Urban Renewal Authority ("GHURA") filed a 14 15 motion to dismiss the FLAME TREE Freedom Center's ("FTFC") appeal to the Office of Public 16 Accountability ("OPA") for lack of jurisdiction since it allegedly claims that FTFC failed to file 17 a timely protest to GHURA's method of source selection for the Invitation for Bid ("IFB") 18 IFB#GHURA-COCC-019-001, and therefore, contends the FTFC's appeal was not within OPA's 19 20 jurisdiction over this Appeal. 21 **BACKGROUND** 22 In FTFC's 11 March 2019 letter to GHURA's Executive Director, FTFC stated that 23 "...the FLAME TREE Freedom Center (FTFC), a non-profit organization that employs 24 individuals with disabilities, is hereby expressing formal interest in providing the subject 25 services per 5 Guam Code Annotated provisions below:" and cited 5 GCA § 5001(d) and § 5217. 26 27 28 OPPOSITION TO GHURA'S MOTION TO DISMISS APPEAL FOR LACK OF JURISDICTION - 1

FTFC sent a 9 April 2019 letter to the GHURA Executive Director citing, to name a few, the aforementioned 5 GCA provisions; how GHURA handled prior year grounds maintenance service contracts with FTFC using the same 5 GCA provisions; comparison to how General Services Agency ("GSA") has treated FTFC on GSA IFBs taking into consideration the same referenced 5 GCA provisions; a parallel between 5 GCA § 5001 and 41 USC Chapter 85; the offer to submit an updated price; and a request to GHURA and its Board to consider the foregoing prior to reaching a decision on s contract aaward. GHURA did not issue a response to FTFC 9 April 2019 letter.

On 6 May 2019, GHURA issued it's 6 May 2019 letter which indicated the GHURA Board of Commissioners approval of the awards for IFB#GHURA-COCC-019-001.

On 7 May 2019, FTFC filed a protest with GHURA based on 5 GCA § 5001 and §5217.

On 15 May 2019 following a GHURA – FTFC meeting on 10 May 2019, FTFC submitted a lower price offer for GHURA's consideration and requested GHURA, in the spirit and intent of the federal and local statues, to reconsider its position.

On 17 May 2019, GHURA denied FTFC's protest, primarily on the basis of 5 GCA § 5217.

Furthermore, it should be noted that FTFC did not receive any correspondence from GHURA to indicate that its proposal was non-responsive; therefore, FTFC concludes that it met all other criteria, with the exception of being the lowest price bid for Base Bid Packet #1.

ARGUMENT

Since FTFC did not receive any responses to its correspondence directly from the GHURA Executive Director, as the head of the purchasing agency, FTFC was unaware how GHURA would eventually respond to FTFC's correspondence and requests, mentioned above.

OPPOSITION TO GHURA'S MOTION TO DISMISS APPEAL FOR LACK OF JURISDICTION - 2

FTFC only had insight to the bid prices submitted by each of the prospective vendors; however, FTFC was not privy to how GHURA evaluated the proposals or whether all proposals were responsive and compliant.

FTFC has been consistent in citing the procurement provisions regarding non-profit organizations. Specifically, 5 GCA § 5001 states: 5 GCA § 5001 (d) reads: Purposes, Rules of Construction. (d) Policy Concerning Sheltered Workers or Persons with Disabilities. If any entity of the government of Guam or any entity expending government funds intends to procure any supply or service which is offered by a non-profit corporation employing sheltered or persons with disabilities, or a government of Guam entity employing sheltered workers or persons with disabilities, then that entity shall procure such supply or service from that non-profit corporation or government entity if the supply or service is available within the period required by the procuring entity. As stated in the aforementioned provision, this is mandated policy. Therefore, when GHURA decided to award contrary to this provision. FTFC had grounds for a protest.

In conclusion, when GHURA's announced the award of Base Bid #1 on 6 May 2019, it was only then did FTFC have the full understanding of GHURA's intent, which gave FTFC sufficient justification to file an official protest on 7 May 2019. FTFC contends that its protest was filed in accordance with the required timelines and, therefore, requests the OPA to deny GHURA motion to dismiss the appeal on the basis of jurisdiction.

Dated this 1st day of July 2019.

Eddy J Reyes, President

FLAME TREE Freedom Center, Inc.