

Jerrick Hernandez <jhernandez@guamopa.com>

### [e-File] OPA-PA-21-014; In the Appeal of JJ Global Services: Comments to Agency

### Service LOVW <service@vlwilliamslaw.com>

Thu, Dec 9, 2021 at 1:57 PM

To: jhernandez@guamopa.com Cc: Vanessa Williams <vlw@vlwilliamslaw.com>, Shania Nitaro <sn@vlwilliamslaw.com>, Darlene Balagot <db@vlwilliamslaw.com>, Lea Mara <lm@vlwilliamslaw.com>

Håfa Adai:

Please find the attached document for filing in the above-referenced matter, as follows:

• Comments to Agency

Your confirmation of receipt is greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Lea Mara Executive Assistant LAW OFFICE OF VANESSA L. WILLIAMS, P.C. 414 West Soledad Avenue GCIC Building, Suite 500

Hagåtña, GU 96910 (671) 477-1389 | (671) 777-5689

2021.12.9 Comments to Agency OPA-PA-21-014.pdf 251K  VANESSA L. WILLIAMS, ESQ. LAW OFFICE OF VANESSA L. WILLIAMS, P.C.
414 WEST SOLEDAD AVENUE GCIC BLDG., SUITE 500
HAGÅTÑA, GUAM 96910 TELEPHONE: 477-1389
EMAIL: VLW@VLWILLIAMSLAW.COM

Attorney for Appellant JJ Global Services

## BEFORE THE OFFICE OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTABILITY PROCUREMENT APPEAL

In the Appeal of

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

JJ GLOBAL SERVICES,

APPELLANT.

DOCKET NO. OPA-PA-21-014

### COMMENTS TO AGENCY REPORT

COMES NOW, Appellant JJ Global Services ("JJ Global"), by and through its counsel of record, Vanessa L. Williams of the Law Office of Vanessa L. Williams, and hereby submits its comments to General Services Agency ("GSA") Agency Report for OPA-PA-21-014, pursuant to 2 Guam Administrative Rules and Regulation, Division 4, Section 12104(c)(4).

### BACKGROUND

On April 1, 2021, GSA issued an Invitation for Bid (IFB No. GSA-023-21) for the repair and maintenance of the Northern Regional Recreational Swimming Pool in the Dededo Sports Complex. Notice of Appeal, Ex. 1 (Nov. 5, 2021). On Page 1 of GSA's IFB's Special Reminder to Prospective Bidders, it states prospective bidders are reminded to comply with the "CURRENT BUSINESS LICENSE/CONTRACTOR'S LICENSE/SPECIALITY LICENSE IN REFERENCE TO SUPPLIES OR SERVICES FOR THIS BID" or face "disqualification and rejection of the bid." Id. On Paragraph 4, Page 23 of GSA's IFB General Terms and Conditions, it states: "Bidders are cautioned that the Government will not consider for award any offer submitted by a bidder who has not complied with the Guam Licensing Law. Specific information on licenses may be obtained from the Director of Revenue and Taxation." Id. at p. 23 ¶ 4. On Paragraph 6, Page 23 of GSA's IFB's General Terms and Conditions, it states: "Bidders shall comply with all specifications and other requirements of the Solicitation." Id. at p. 23  $\P$  6. On

Paragraph 14, Page 23 of GSA's IFB's General Terms and Conditions, it states: "Bids will be considered only from the such bidders who, in the opinion of the Government, can show evidence of their ability, experience, equipment, and facilities to render satisfactory service." *Id.* at p. 23 ¶ 14. On Paragraph 16, Page 24 of GSA's IFB's General Terms and Conditions, the Standard for Determination of Lowest Responsible Bidders" is guided by the following, inter alia: "b) The ability, capacity, and skill of the Bidder to perform[,] ...e) The previous and existing compliance by the Bidder with laws and regulations relative to procurement[,] ... [and] h) The compliance with all of the conditions of the Solicitation." *Id.* at p. 24 ¶ 16. On the General Requirements Section of Page 34 of GSA's IFB, it states: "By submitting a proposal/[q]uote, each bidder agrees that [Department of Parks and Recreation], in determining the successful bidder and its eligibility for the award, may consider the bidder's experience and facilities, conduct and performance under other contracts and financial performance." *Id.* at p. 34.

On June 8, 2021, JJ Global, Guahan Cleaning Masters 1, and Total Chemical Resources, Inc. submitted bids in response to IFB No. GSA-023-21. *Id.* at Ex. 2. On April 5, 2021, JJ Global submitted their Bid Submission for IFB No. GSA-023-21. *Id.* at Ex. 3. On April 29, 2021, Total Chemical Resources, Inc. submitted their Bid Submission for IFB No. GSA-023-21. *Id.* at Ex. 4. On September 29, 2021, JJ Global received GSA's Bid Status rejecting JJ Global's Bid Submission due to "high price". *Id.* at Ex. 5.

On October 6, 2021, JJ Global protested the award of IFB No. GSA-023-21 to Total Chemical Resources, Inc. on the grounds that Total Chemical Resources, Inc. was not the lowest responsive and responsible bidder when it lacks the proper licensing necessary to comply with the licensing requirements of Guam law and the IFB. *Id.* at Ex. 6. On October 21, 2021, GSA denied JJ Global's protest, which was received by JJ Global the next day, October 22, 2021. *Id.* at Ex. 7. On November 5, 2021, JJ Global filed a Notice of Appeal for the instant matter, which was docketed as OPA-PA-21-014. Notice of Appeal (Nov. 5, 2021). On November 23, 2021, GSA filed its Agency Report. GSA Agency Rpt. (Nov. 23, 2021). JJ Global now files its Comments to GSA's Agency Report on December 9, 2021.

### ARGUMENT

# Total Chemical Resources is not a responsible and responsive bidder when it does not have *any* contracting licenses.

TCR is not a responsible and responsive bidder when it lacks all contracting licenses required to execute the IFB. A responsible bidder is "a person who has the capability in all respects to perform fully the contract requirements, and the integrity and reliability which will assure good faith performance." 5 GCA § 5201(f). One factor considered in determining the responsibility of a bidder is whether the prospective bidder has the "appropriate financial, material, equipment, facility, and personnel resources and expertise, or the ability to obtain them, necessary to indicate its capability to meet all contractual requirements ...[.]" 2 GAR Div. 4 § 3116(b)(2)(A)(i); IFB at page 23 § 15. The IFB delineates the standard for determining the lowest responsible bidder, which includes:

(b) The ability, capacity, and skill of the Bidder to perform[,]

(c) The previous and existing compliance by the Bidder with laws and regulations relative to procurement[,] ...

(g) The ability of the bidder to provide future maintenance and services for the subject of the award[,]

[and] (h) The compliance with all of the conditions of the Solicitation.

IFB at page 24 § 16. A responsive bidder is "a person who has submitted a bid which conforms in all material respects to the Invitation for Bids." 5 GCA § 5201(g); 2 GAR Div. 4 § 3109(n)(2).

TCR is neither a responsible nor responsive bidder. TCR is not a responsible bidder because it lacks the capability to perform the full contract requirements when it not a contractor nor does it possess *any* of the requisite contractor's licenses. Likewise, TCR is not a responsive bidder because it did not submit a bid conforming to all material respects of the IFB when its submission lacked the general and specialty licenses required by the bid.

It is evident from the outset that IFB No. GSA-023-21 required both contractor's and specialty licenses due to the explicit mention on the first page of the IFB, which states as follows: "(X) CURRENT BUSINESS LICENSE/CONTRACTOR'S LICENSE/SPECIALTY LICENSE **IN REFERENCE TO SUPPLIES OR SERVICES FOR THIS BID**". IFB page 1 (emphasis in original). Directly below this requirement, the IFB states: "Failure to comply with the above

I.

**requirements may be cause for disqualification and rejection of the bid.**" *Id.* (emphasis in original). In fact, under the IFB's General Terms and Conditions, numerous terms and conditions require the bidder to comply with the relevant laws and requirements necessary to fully perform on the bid. IFB pp. 23-24 §§ 4, 14-16, and 34; *see* IFB p. 23 § 6 ("COMPLIANCE WITH SPECIFICATIONS AND OTHER SOLICITATION REQUIREMENTS: Bidders shall comply with all specifications and other requirements of the Solicitation.")

The IFB includes two items: the repair of the swimming pool/sports complex and its maintenance of the facilities. *Id.* at pp. 32-33. Item 1 includes repairing of the pool and the installation of lights, among others; whereas Item 2 includes maintenance, monitoring, and repair, which may include grounds, electrical, and mechanical work. *Id.* at pp. 33-34. Accordingly, a variety of general building and specialty contractor licenses are needed for both items, which includes swimming pool, electrical, and plumbing. *See id.* at pp. 32-34 29 and 29 GAR § 1421 (3) (listing specialty contractor classifications). According to both TCR's bid submission and the Contractors Licensing Board's ("CLB") FOIA response, TCR is not licensed with the CLB at all and therefore does not have *any* contractor's license. *See* Notice of Appeal, Exs. 4 & 6. Thus, TCR is not a responsible nor responsive bidder as it lacks the requisite licenses to perform the services in the IFB.

In GSA's response to JJ Global's Protest, GSA states: "JJ Global acknowledges and recognizes, through a FOIA request made with the Contractor's License Board on June 9, 2021, a valid Total Chemical Resources Pool Maintenance and Repair of Equipment and General Building Contractor's business license." Notice of Appeal, Ex. 7. This is demonstrably untrue.<sup>1</sup> While JJ Global acknowledges TCR submitted two business licenses in its bid submission, it does not acknowledge nor recognize either license to be "contractor's licenses" necessary to perform on the IFB. TCR does not possess *any* contractor's license, only business licenses, which grant different rights. *See* Notice of Appeal, Exs. 4 & 6. While a business license does grant the licensee "the right to engage in a business or to practice a trade or a profession[,]" no person may "act,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Furthermore, JJ Global's FOIA request was to the CLB, which deals with licenses to contractors, not general business licenses. 21 GCA § 70103(a). Thus, JJ Global would not have obtained TCR's business licenses in a FOIA request to the CLB.

assume to act, or advertise, as a general engineering contractor, a general building contractor or a specialty contractor without a [contractor's] license...." Compare 11 GCA § 70103(h) and 21 GCA § 70108(a) (emphasis added). Here, by submitting a bid for the IFB, TCR is assuming to act as a general contractor and specialty contractor despite being neither. Without the requisite contractor's licenses, TCR cannot fully perform on the IFB without; therefore, TCR cannot be a responsible nor responsive bidder and should not be awarded the IFB.

#### II. 21 GCA § 70107(c) does not apply to TCR's bid when none of the companies are specialty contractors and the bid requires more than just electrical work.

The statute cited by GSA does not apply because none of the companies named in TCR's bid, TCR, R&E Builders, and D.B.P. Construction, are specialty contractors. Notice of Appeal, Ex. 4. GSA argues TCR's bid is responsive because 21 GCA § 70107(c) allows it to take and execute the IFB and contract despite lacking specialty contractor's licenses. Id. at Ex. 7. Section 70107(c) states:

This Section shall not prohibit a specialty contractor from taking and executing a contract involving the use of two (2) or more crafts or trades, if the performance of the work in the crafts or trades, other than in which the specialty contractor is licensed, is less than thirty-five percent (35%) and supplemental to the performance of work in the craft for which the specialty contractor is licensed.

21 GCA § 70107(c). GSA argues this exempts TCR from possessing specialty licenses because the total percentage of electrical work, which is specialty contractor work, would only be about 10-15% of the total bid. Notice of Appeal, Ex. 7. However, Section 70107(c) does not apply for two reasons: (a) TCR, R&E Builders, and D.B.P. Construction are not specialty contractors; and (b) the IFB requires more specialty contractor work than just electrical. Thus, TCR should not be awarded the IFB because § 70107(c) does not exempt it from requiring contractor's licenses to fully perform on the contract.

### A. Neither Total Chemical Resources not the subcontractors are specialty contractors.

None of the companies named in TCR's bid submission are specialty contractors, thus 21 specialty contractor from taking and executing a contract...." 21 GCA § 70107(c). Thus, as a

threshold issue, the person seeking exemption under this statute must actually be a specialty contractor. However, as shown above, TCR is not a specialty contractor or even a contractor at all. TCR only possesses business licenses. Notice of Appeal, Exs. 4 & 6. As for R&E Builders and D.B.P. Construction, both companies only possess general building contractor licenses. Notice of Appeal, Exs. 4 & 6; 29 GAR § 1421. Thus, because none of the companies are specialty contractors, the exemption for specialty contractors under § 70107(c) does not apply to them. Therefore, TCR should not be awarded the IFB or contract.

### B. The IFB requires more specialty contracting work than just electrical work.

Section 70107(c) also does not apply here because there is more specialty work in the bid than just electrical. GSA argues TCR does not need to have a specialty contractor's licenses because "the percentage of the total bid ... that will go towards Electrical (lights inside the pool and the lights outside the pool) will be about 10-15%." Notice of Appeal, Ex. 7. For § 70107(c) to apply, "the performance of the work in the crafts or trades, other than in which the specialty contractor is licensed" must be "less than thirty-five percent (35%)[.]" 21 GCA § 70107(c). However, the IFB will require more than just the estimated 10-15% of specialty electrical work. According to the the specifications of the IFB, the work would also requires the specialty licenses for swimming pool and plumbing. *Supra* Section I. *See id.* at pp. 32-34 29 and 29 GAR § 1421 (3) (listing specialty contractor classifications). A large part of the IFB deals with the repair and maintenance of the swimming pool repairs are less than 20% of the work solicited in the IFB, Section 70107(c) cannot apply.

### CONCLUSION

JJ Global is the lowest responsible and responsive bidder. TCR's bid does not comply with the requirements, terms, and conditions of the IFB. TCR is not a contractor nor its subcontractors named in its bid are specialty contractors. Thus, TCR is not the lowest responsible bidder nor is it exempted under 21 GCA § 70107(c). Therefore, JJ Global respectfully requests that the Public Auditor rule TCR is not the lowest responsible bidder for IFB No. GSA-023-21 and that its award and contract under the IFB is null and void. Furthermore, JJ Global requests

the IFB be awarded to JJ Global as the lowest responsible bidder and all other legal and equitable remedies available to it.

Respectfully submitted this 9th day of December 2021.

VANESSA L. WILLIAMS, ESQ. Attorney for Appellant JJ Global Services