

Jerrick Hernandez < jhernandez@guamopa.com>

OPA-PA-22-004

LEGAL ASST < legal@terlajelaw.com>

Fri, Jul 1, 2022 at 4:21 PM

To: Jerrick Hernandez < jhernandez@guamopa.com>

<info@terlajelaw.com>

Hafa Adai, Mr. Hernandez.

Our office would like to submit the attached Appellant's Comments to Agency Statement and Appellant's Request for Hearing for e-filing with the OPA in the matter referenced above. Kindly confirm receipt of these two (2) attachments.

Have a nice weekend.

Si Yu'os Ma'ase, Tatiana Agustin Legal Assistant LAW OFFICE OF JACQUELINE TAITANO TERLAJE, P.C. 284 West Chalan Santo Papa Hagatna, Guam 96910 Telephone 671.648.9001 Facsimile 671.648.9002 info@terlajelaw.com<mailto:info@terlajelaw.com>

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: THE FOREGOING MESSAGE, INCLUDING ANY ATTACHMENTS, IS COVERED BY THE ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PRIVACY ACT, 18 U.S.C. SECTIONS 2510-2521, IS SENT BY A LAW FIRM AND IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHOM OR WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED. THE MESSAGE CONTAINS INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL AND EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE. ANY AND ALL SUCH RIGHTS OF PRIVILEGE, CONFIDENTIALITY AND NON-DISCLOSURE ARE HEREBY CLAIMED AND EXPRESSLY NOT WAIVED. DO NOT READ THE MESSAGE AND ATTACHMENT(S) IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT. THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS E-MAIL TRANSMISSION AND ANY ATTACHMENT IS CONFIDENTIAL AND REMAINS THE PROPERTY OF THE SENDER UNTIL IT IS RECEIVED BY THE INTENDED RECIPIENT. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR AN EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE FOR DELIVERING IT TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION. DISTRIBUTION, OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS COMMUNICATION IN ERROR, PLEASE CONTACT THE SENDER IMMEDIATELY OR NOTIFY CLIENT SERVICES AT [mailto:671.648.9001/info@terlajelaw.com | 671.648.9001/info@terlajelaw. com<mailto:671.648.9001/info@terlaielaw.com>]; DELETE THIS EMAIL AND ALL ATTACHMENTS IMMEDIATELY, IF APPLICABLE.

2 attachments

1Jul22 Appellant Comments to Agency Statmt.pdf 118K



1Jul22 Appellant Req Hearing.pdf 74K

1		
2		
3	Jacqueline Taitano Terlaje, Esq. LAW OFFICE OF JACQUELINE TAITANO TERLAJE, P.C. 284 W Chalan Santo Papa Hagåtña, Guam 96910 Telephone 671.648.9001 Facsimile 671.648.9002 Email: info@terlajelaw.com	
4		
5		
6		
7	,	
8	Attorney for Appellant BEFORE THE PUBLIC AUDITOR PROCUREMENT APPEALS TERRITORY OF GUAM	
9		
10	IN THE APPEAL OF) APPEAL NO. OPA-PA-22-004
11)
12	DATA MANAGEMENT RESOURCES,	APPELLANT'S COMMENTS TO AGENCY
13	LLC. Appellant.) STATEMENT
14)
15		
16	COMES NOW Appellant, DATA MANAGEMENT RESOURCES, LLC (DMR), through	
17	undersigned counsel, the Law Office of Jacqueline Taitano Terlaje, PC, to hereby submit its Comments to	
18	the Agency Statement filed on June 21, 2022 related to Request for Proposal Office of the Governor RFP-	
19	OOG-2022-001 for the Guam Licensing and Permitting System.	
20		
21	I. FACTUAL BACKGROUND	
22	Prior to the issuance of the RFP-OOG-2022-001, a Government of Guam employee	
23	without any prior procurement training was tasked with the development and authoring of the requirements	
24	of the procurement of the Guam Licensing and Permitting System. See RFP-OOG-2022-001, p. 48 of 96,	

Section O. Section O provides, in part "[t]his Scope of Services was drafted by: Matthew Santos".

Matthew Santos has not completed any procurement modules with the Guam Community College

Procurement Program, as required by Guam Procurement Law. 5 G.C.A. § 5141(b). Neither was there any assigned Procurement Officer to oversee the function, service, description of requirements, selection and solicitations of sources in preparation of the acquisition of professional services for a licensing and business permitting system. *See* Procurement Record (Jun. 16, 2022) at p. 313 Designation of Procurement Officer (May 19, 2022).

No designation of any procurement officer was produced in the record by the Office of the Governor prior to December 6, 2021, when the initial planning and development stage of RFP-OOG-2022-001 occurred. See Procurement Record (Jun. 16, 2022) at p. 234 - 303 Determination of Need for Contract for Professional Services (Dec. 6, 2021); Determination of Multi-Year Contract (Dec. 6, 2021); Determination to Use Installment Payments (Dec. 6, 2021); Memo to Chief Information Officer (Dec. 13, 2021). On May 19, 2022, following the protests of Appellant of the inability of the Government to clearly describe the minimum specifications of the requested services, amongst other grounds, the Office of the Governor appointed Venido Torres, Procurement Officer with the authority to enter into procurement contracts. See Procurement Record (Jun. 16, 2022) at p. 313 Designation of Procurement Officer (May 19, 2022).

RFP-OOG-2022-001 is currently funded by the U.S. Department of Interior Grant Award No. D20AP00048 until the end of FY 2022. *See* RFP-OOG-2022-001 p. 20, Section Z. Due to an approval of extension, the expiration for utilization of funds is on September 30, 2022. *See* Exhibit 15 and Exhibit 16 Decl. J. Terlaje (Jun. 24, 2022). No evidence of the extension of the grant has been provided by the Government. Therefore, funding for RFP-OOG-2022-001 expires in three months despite the necessity of performing deliverables in excess of twelve (12) months. *See* Procurement Record (Jun. 16, 2022) at p. 234 Determination to Use Multi-Year Contract (Dec. 6, 2021) ("The Office of the Governor has determined that the scope and scale of this project will require a time frame that will surpass a full fiscal period".)

ARGUMENT

(a) The government is not excused from the good faith requirement for entry into all contracts, and its failure to secure funding for the first year of its multi-year contract constitutes bad faith.

5 G.C.A. § 5003 requires the Government of Guam to enter into all contracts, including multi-year contracts in good faith. While the government excuses its inability to secure funding for multi-year contracts, the alarming issue in RFP-OOG-2022-001 is that the government not only sought an extension of its funding on the basis of the need to extend beyond the first contract year, but it has also confirmed that it has not confirmed any source of funding past September 30, 2022. *See* Exhibit 15 Decl. J. Terlaje (Jun. 24, 2022), Letter Requesting Grant Extension (May 27, 2021)(citing implementation costs, training, licensing and support to be expended outside the first year of contract); Exhibit 4 Notice of Appeal, p. 7 of 13 RFP Gov-2022-001 Responses to All RFP Questions 3/5/2022.

RFP-OOG-2022-001 provides:

The estimated time for performance of the implementation of the system and services outlined in the Scope of Services in the RFP is approximately twelve (12) months ("Implementation Period"),

RFP-OOG-2022-001 is intended as a multi-year contract. However, the government in response to the funding source after September 30, 2022, responded, "Funds are available for the first fiscal period at the time of contracting. Payment and performance obligations for succeeding fiscal periods shall be subject to the availability and appropriation of funds therefor." Funding must be available for all fiscal periods, or the contract may have to be cancelled. Because the purpose of multi-term contracts is to promote both economy and efficiency, the failure of the government to confirm availability of funding or at bare minimum the agency responsible for the remaining contract term increases the risk of defeating the purpose of multi-term contracts.

Based on the current approach of end of Fiscal Year 2022, within three (3) months, the government concedes that it lacks funding for the first year of contract under RFP-OOG-2022-001. The failure of the government to ensure adequate funding, and to act in good faith in the request for a multi-year contract, requires a reformation of RFP-OOG-2022-001, or cancellation based on the impossible and arbitrary conditions imposed by RFP-OOG-2022-001.

(b) The arbitrary conduct of the Office of the Governor in compliance with 5 G.C.A. § 5141(b) and 2 C.F.R. § 200.319 erodes the maximum fairness and competition required in all procurements.

Importantly, the OOG does not deny its failure to comply with 5 G.C.A. § 5141(b), which provides in part:

Mandatory Certification and Continuing Education. Notwithstanding any other provision of law or this Chapter and effective October 1, 2016, all government of Guam personnel tasked with the responsibility of purchasing or otherwise procuring goods, or services, or construction, including those employed by agencies with authority to conduct their own procurement, as well as any person within the Office of Public Accountability responsible for administering procurement appeals or auditing of the purchasing activities of the government of Guam, must receive the training and continuing education to the extent required under this Section and offered by the College in consultation with the Guam Procurement Advisory Council. A government of Guam employee who is required to receive the training may not participate in purchases by the employing agency unless the employee has received the required training or received equivalent training from a national association recognized by the College and the Guam Procurement Advisory Council, which shall be the judge of equivalency. The equivalent training may count toward the continuing education requirements of this Section, as determined by the College, in consultation with the Guam Procurement Advisory Council.

The OOG in further failing to comply with 5 G.C.A. § 5141(b) has engaged in arbitrary conduct in violation of 2 C.F.R. § 200.319. Specifically, as a Recipient under U.S. Department of the Interior – Office of Insular Affairs, Grant and Cooperative Agreement D20AP0048, the use of federal funds specifically requires the

23

24

25

26

27

28

Office of the Governor not only to ensure maximum competition, but to avoid the engagement of arbitrary conduct in the procurement process which is presumptive of restriction on competition.

The failure to ensure compliance with procurement training and certification of a central participant in RFP-OOG-2022-001 constitutes arbitrary conduct, and the OOG's attempts to allege that it "substantially and materially complied" does not cure the erosion of the policy mandating maximum competition. While the OOG further alleges that the Appellant has not been affected by its arbitration conduct and that the Appellant has failed to allege prejudice, the mere fact of the government engaging in arbitrary conduct is in itself prejudicial to the maximum competition in procurement, and violates the government's obligation to ensure a competitive and fair process in RFP-OOG-2022-001.

(c) The Office of Public Accountability (OPA) retains jurisdiction over this appeal.

The government asserts in its Agency Statement that the appeal herein was untimely made because the Appellant knew or should have known of the government's arbitrary conduct from the first day of the publication of RFP-OOG-2022-001. On March 5, 2022, the OOG provided confirmation of the lack of funding beyond September 30, 2022. Appellant had no information available in the procurement that the government had no source of funding beyond September 30, 2022. On March 5, 2022, it was clear that the government while knowing that the obligations of performance would exceed September 30, 2022, provides no source of funding for the first year of contract, or the first twelve months, and the remaining term of the multi-year contract. Based on the responses of the government confirming its lack of funding for the entire first year of contract and the additional term required under the multi-year contract, the Appellant's protest was timely. In other words, the government now admits that it has only three months of funding of a five (5) year contract. Based on the disclosure of these facts, the protest and appeal were timely.

In Re DMR Appeal

OPA-PA-22-004

Appellant's Comments to Agency Statement

27

28