

Jerrick Hernandez < jhernandez@guamopa.com>

In the Appeal of Summer Vista II DE, LLC and Summer Vista III DE, LLC Appeal (Protest 2)

| Joyce C.H. Tang <jtang@civilletang.com></jtang@civilletang.com> | Mon, Mar 31, 2025 at 4:46 PM |
|---|--|
| To: Jerrick Hernandez <jhernandez@guamopa.com> Cc: emflorig@ghura.org, Elizabeth Napoli <efnapoli@ghura.org>, Haig Huynh <h< th=""><th>nhuynh@civilletang.com></th></h<></efnapoli@ghura.org></jhernandez@guamopa.com> | nhuynh@civilletang.com> |
| Jerrick, | |
| Please find attached for e-filing Summer Vista II DE, LLC and Summe with Exhibits A – E against GHURA. | r Vista III DE, LLC's Appeal (Protest 2) |
| Please confirm receipt for filing. | |
| Thank you. | |
| Joyce | |
| | |
| | |
| Joyce C.H. Tang | |
| Civille & Tong DI I C | |

Civille & Tang, PLLC

T: 671.472.8868 F: 671.477.2511

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: THE FOREGOING MESSAGE, INCLUDING ANY ATTACHMENTS, IS COVERED BY THE ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PRIVACY ACT, 18 U.S.C. SECTIONS 2510-2521 AND IS SENT BY A LAW FIRM AND IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHOM OR WHICH IT IS ADDRESSED AND CONTAINS INFORMATION THAT IS PRIVILEGED, CONFIDENTIAL AND EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE. ANY SUCH AND ALL SUCH RIGHTS OF PRIVILEGE, CONFIDENTIALITY, AND NON-DISCLOSURE ARE HEREBY CLAIMED AND EXPRESSLY NOT WAIVED. DO NOT READ THE MESSAGE AND ATTACHMENT(S) IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT. IN ANY EVENT, THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS E-MAIL TRANSMISSION AND ANY ATTACHMENT IS CONFIDENTIAL AND REMAINS THE PROPERTY OF THE SENDER UNTIL IT IS RECEIVED BY THE INTENDED RECIPIENT.

6 attachments

- 3.31.2025 OPA Appeal Protest 2 FINAL.pdf
- Exhibit A (3.14.25 Protest 2 Decision).pdf 251K
- Exhibit B (1.23.25 Protest 1 Decision).pdf 605K
- Exhibit C (2.3.25 Summer Vista's Protest #2).pdf
- Exhibit D (2025 LIHTC Allocation Agreement).pdf 1321K
- Exhibit E (1.24.25 SAR).pdf 222K

| 1 | JOYCE C.H. TANG | | | | |
|----------|---|---|--|--|--|
| 2 | HAIG T. HUYNH CIVILLE & TANG PLLC | | | | |
| 3 | 330 Hernan Cortez Ave. Ste. 200 Hagatna, Guam 96910 | | | | |
| 4 | Tel: (671) 472-8868/69 | | | | |
| 5 | Fax: (671 477-2511 | | | | |
| 6 | Attorneys for Appellants | | | | |
| 7 | Summer Vista II DE, LLC and Summer Vista III DE, LLC | | | | |
| 8 | , - | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | |
| 10 | | | | | |
| 11 | PROCURE | EMENT APPEAL | | | |
| 12 | IN THE OFFICE OF P | UBLIC ACCOUNTABILITY | | | |
| 13 | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | |
| 16 | In the Appeal of | DOCKET NO. OPA-PA-25 | | | |
| 17 | | | | | |
| 18 | Summer Vista II DE, LLC and Summer Vista | NOTICE OF VERIFIED PROCUREMENT APPEAL; PROCUREMENT APPEAL | | | |
| 19 20 | III DE, LLC | | | | |
| 21 | Appellants | | | | |
| 22 | | 1 | | | |
| 23 | Summer Vista DE II, LLC and Summer | Vista DE III, LLC (collectively referred to as | | | |
| 24 | "Summer Vista") hereby appeal a decision rend | ered by the Guam Housing and Urban Renewal | | | |
| 25 | A 41 '4 (((CHUIDA?)) | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | |
| 28 | | . See Exhibit B (1/23/25 Protest 1 Decision). Protest | | | |

28

¹ Summer Vista II DE, LLC and Summer Vista III DE, LLC are collectively hereinafter referred to as "Summer Vista" or "Appellants" and individually as "Summer Vista II" and "Summer Vista III."

| 1 | | Appeal is made from a Decision on Protest of Method, Solicitation or Award. |
|--|--|--|
| 2 | D | |
| 3 4 | Date of Contract: | February 3, 2025 (GHURA entered into the 2025 LIHTC Allocation Agreement. See Exhibit D (2025 LIHTC Allocation Agreement). |
| 5 | Names of Competing Bidders: | (1) Flores Rosa Garden L.L.C. ("Flores Rosa") the |
| 6 | Names of Competing Didders. | bidder to which GHURA purported to award the 2024 LIHTC allocation of \$1,793,120. |
| 7 8 | | (2) Rosewood Park L.L.C., the bidder which was purported to be ranked #2 in a tie with Summer Vista II. |
| 9 | | (3) Dos Amantes Development, LLC |
| 10 | III. STATEMEN | NT OF GROUNDS FOR APPEAL |
| 11 | | OF GROUNDS FOR ALL EAL |
| 12 | A. GROUNDS FOR APPEAL | |
| 13 | Summer Vista brings this appeal of | GHURA's Decision to deny Summer Vista's February 3, |
| 14 | 2025 protest ("Protest #2"). The grounds for | r appeal are as follows: |
| 15 | 1. Improper Application of G | HURA Appeal Procedure |
| 16 | GHURA improperly applied GHUR | A Appeal Procedure appeals rules that were neither |
| 17 | properly promulgated nor adopted by the G | HURA Board of Commissioners ("GHURA Board") to |
| 18 | deny Protest #2. The document relied upon | by GHURA entitled "Procedure for Appeal to the Board |
| 19 | of Commissioners" ("GHURA Appeal Proc | edure") is not the same document referenced at page 31 of |
| 20 | the LIHTC QAP or the 1/23/25 Decision | "GHURA's Appeals and Process Procedure." See |
| 21 | Exhibit E, at 31 (2024 QAP); see also Exh | ibit B. GHURA has no record of any set of rules and |
| $\begin{bmatrix} 21 \\ 22 \end{bmatrix}$ | regulations titled "GHURA's Appeals and l | Process Procedure." See Notice of Verified Procurement |
| 23 | Appeal; Procurement Appeal at 4-5, Summe | er Vista II DE, LLC & Summer Vista III DE, LLC v. Guam |
| 24 | Hous. & Urban Renewal Auth., No. OPA-P | A-25-001 (Guam Office of Pub. Accountability Feb. 3, |
| 25 | 2025). It is undisputed that the GHURA Ap | peal Procedure was not approved by the GHURA Board |
| 26 | and was not promulgated in accordance wit | h the Administrative Adjudication Law ("AAL") at 5 |
| 27 | GCA §9100 et seq. See id. Therefore, these | rules have no legal effect and cannot form the basis for |
| 28 | denying Protest #1. See 5 GCA § 9303 (cap | tioned "Adoption, Repeal, Recission, or Amendment of |
| 20 | | |

Rules and Regulations"); see also id.

2

3 4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

2. GHURA Did Not Follow the GHURA Appeal Procedure

While Summer Vista disputes the validity of the GHURA Appeal Procedure, Summer Vista asserts that GHURA failed to comply with the requirements under the GHURA Appeal Procedure. The GHURA Appeal Procedure requires all decisions regarding any protest or appeal to be decided by the GHURA Board. The GHURA Board did not hold any meeting between its December 10, 2024 meeting – when it voted to award the 2024 LIHTC to Flores Rosa – and its next meeting on February 6, 2025. Thus, the GHURA Board did not meet to review, discuss and vote on the various issues relating to Protest #1. Ms. Napoli signed the 1/23/25 Decision denying Protest #1 as the executive director, without the GHURA Board's review and approval. Nowhere in the purported GHURA Appeal Procedure does it authorize GHURA's Executive Director or any other person to subsume the GHURA Board's role in reviewing and deciding protest or appeals.

3. There Is No Evidence of GHURA Appeal Procedures Being Published

Not only has the GHURA Appeal Procedures never been promulgated or adopted by the Board, it has not been published in accordance with the requirements of the AAL. The procedures do not appear in the Guam Compiler of Laws' official compilation of administrative rules and regulations, nor have they been made available in pamphlet form. See 5 GCA § 9305 ("any agency may cause to be printed in pamphlet form those rules which were adopted by or affect such agency...").

4. Violation of Section 5425(g) Stay

On February 3, 2025, GHURA unlawfully executed the 2025 LIHTC Allocation Agreement with Flores Rosa, in violation of the automatic stay imposed under 5 GCA § 5425(g). The stay was triggered by the timely filing of Protest #1 on January 6, 2025, and remained in effect at the time of the award, rendering the action void under Guam procurement law.

В. **SUMMER VISTA'S PROTEST 2 IS TIMELY**

This protest is timely filed challenging the purported GHURA's Appeal Rules and Guam's Procurement Laws because the LIHTC award at issue occurred on February 3, 2025—the date GHURA executed the 2025 LIHTC Allocation Agreement. Although GHURA provided no formal notice and actively withheld the 2025 LIHTC Allocation Agreement from Summer Vista until February 7, 2025, Protest #2 was filed on February 3, 2025, *the same day the 2025 Carryover Allocation Agreement was executed*. Summer Vista received a copy of the 2025 LIHTC Allocation Agreement on February 7, 2025 in response to a Sunshine Act Request dated January 24, 2025. *See* Exhibit E (1/24/25 SAR).

GHURA's execution of the 2025 Carryover Allocation Agreement on February 3, 2025, constituted the award of tax credits. *See* 26 C.F.R. § 1.42-6(c)(1). Because Protest #2 was filed the same day, Summer Vista's Protest 2 is timely under the purported GHURA Appeal Procedure (tenday appeal rule) and the Guam Procurement Law requiring protest "within fourteen (14) days after such aggrieved person knows or should know of the facts giving rise thereto." *See* 5 GCA § 5425(a).

IV. STATEMENT OF THE RULINGS REQUESTED

Summer Vista respectfully requests the Office of Public Accountability make the findings and order the requested relief as follows:

- **A.** Rescind the award and declare the 2025 Carryover Allocation Agreement void;
- **B.** Cease all further action related to the 2024 QAP;
- C. Refrain from allocating any LIHTC tax credits until a valid QAP is adopted and published for calendar year 2025; and
- **D.** For such other relief that the OPA deems appropriate.

V. SUPPORTING EXHIBITS, EVIDENCE, AND/OR DOCUMENTS

Attached hereto are the following supporting documents and evidence to substantiate the foregoing claims and grounds for appeal:

- 1. Exhibit A, 3/14/25 Protest 2 Decision
- 2. Exhibit B, 1/23/25 Protest 1 Decision
- 3. Exhibit C, 2/3/25 Summer Vista's Protest 2
- 4. Exhibit D, 2025 LIHTC Allocation Agreement
- 5. **Exhibit E**, 1/24/25 SAR

Although Summer Vista has identified the attached documents and information in support of this Appeal, Summer Vista does not waive its right to rely upon additional documents, information and testimony. To avoid any doubt, Summer Vista's investigation continues, and it expressly

25

26

27

28

| 1 | reserves the right to cite other evidence and to present additional testimony during this Appeal or | | | | | | |
|----------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | other proceeding related to the Protest. | | | | | | |
| 3 | VI. DECLARATION RE COURT ACTION | | | | | | |
| 4 | The undersigned party does hereby confirm that to the best of her knowledge that no case of | | | | | | |
| 5 | action concerning the subject of this Appeal has been commenced in court. Counsel for Summer Vist | | | | | | |
| 6 | agrees to notify the Office of Public Accountability within 24 hours if court action commence | | | | | | |
| 7 | regarding this Appeal or the underlying procurement action. | | | | | | |
| 8 | CIVILLE & TANG, PLLC | | | | | | |
| 9 | /s/ Joyce C.H. Tang | | | | | | |
| 10 | Dated: March 31, 2025 By: JOYCE C.H. TANG | | | | | | |
| 11 | Attorneys for Appellant Summer Vista DE II, LLC and Summer Vista DE | | | | | | |
| 12 | III, LLC | | | | | | |
| 13 | | | | | | | |
| 14 | | | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | | |
| 16 17 | | | | | | | |
| 18 | | | | | | | |
| 19 | | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | | |
| 21 | | | | | | | |
| 22 | | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | | |
| 26 | | | | | | | |
| 27 | | | | | | | |
| 28 | | | | | | | |
| na | 6 | | | | | | |

Civille & Tang, PLLC

VERIFICATION I, Conchita Bathan, the duly authorized representative of Appellants, Summer Vista II DE, LLC and Summer Vista II DE, LLC, the Appellants in the above-entitled action and that I have read the foregoing Notice of Procurement Appeal and Procurement Appeal, and they are true of my own knowledge except as to those matters alleged upon information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe them to be true. I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Cheath Dated: March 31, 2025 By: CONCHITA BATHAN Appellants Summer Vista II DE, LLC and Summer Vista III, DE LLC

Civille & Tang, PLLC

Exhibit A



GHURA

Guam Housing and Urban Renewal Authority
Aturidat Ginima' Yan Rinueban Siudat Guahan
117 Bien Venida Avenue, Sinajana, GU 96910
Phone: (671) 477-9851 · Fax: (671) 300-7565 · TTY: (671) 472-3701
Website: www.ghura.org



Lourdes A. Leon Guerrero

Governor of Guam

Joshua F. Tenorio Lt. Governor of Guam

> John J. Rivera Chairman

Nathanael P. Sanchez Vice Chairman

> Anisia S. Delia Commissioner

Emilia F. Rice Commissioner

Victor R. Torres Commissioner

Karl E. Corpus Resident Commissioner

Elizabeth F. Napoli Executive Director

Fernando B. Esteves Deputy Director March 14, 2025

Ms. Joyce C.H. Tang, Esq. Law Offices of Civille & Tang, PLLC 330 Herman Cortez Avenue, Suite 200 Hagåtña, Guam 96910

VIA E-MAIL: jtang@civilletang.com

Re: Appeal/Protest #2 on February 3, 2025 and Appeal/Protest #3 on

February 17, 2025

Hafa Adai, Ms. Tang:

This letter is to provide notice that the above-referenced appeals/protests you filed with the Guam Housing and Urban Renewal Authority (GHURA) on February 3, 2025 and February 17, 2025, respectively, on behalf of your clients Summer Vista II, DE, LLC and Summer Vista III, DE, LLC are hereby denied due to untimely filing.

Please refer to the same reasoning used in the denial of Appeal/Protest #1 on January 6, 2025 which was addressed in GHURA's letter of January 23, 2025 of untimely filing as the basis of the denial of Appeal/Protest #2 and Appeal/Protest #3.

Should you have any questions or require further information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Regards,

Elizabeth F. Napoli Executive Director

Cc: Board of Commissioners

Chief Planner In-House Counsel

Exhibit B



GHURA

Guam Housing and Urban Renewal Authority Aturidat Ginima' Yan Rinueban Siudat Guahan 117 Bien Venida Avenue, Sinajana, GU 96910 Phone: (671) 477-9851 · Fax: (671) 300-7565 · TTY: (671) 472-3701 Website: www.ghura.org



Lourdes A. Leon Guerrero Governor of Guam

> Joshua F. Tenorio Lt. Governor of Guam

> > John J. Rivera Chairman

Nathanael P. Sanchez Vice Chairman

> Anisia S. Delia Commissioner

Emilia F. Rice Commissioner

Victor R. Torres Commissioner

Karl E. Corpus Resident Commissioner

Elizabeth F. Napoli Executive Director

Fernando B. Esteves Deputy Director January 23, 2025

Ms. Joyce C.H. Tang, Esq. Law Offices of Civille & Tang, PLLC 330 Herman Cortez Avenue, Suite 200 Hagåtña, Guam 96910

VIA E-MAIL: jtang@civilletang.com

Re: Appeal/Protest of the LIHTC Award to Flores Rosa Gardens L.L.C. and Ranking of Rosewood Park L.L.C.

Hafa Adai, Ms. Tang:

This letter is to provide notice that the above-referenced appeal/protest you filed with the Guam Housing and Urban Renewal Authority (GHURA) on January 6, 2025 on behalf of your clients Summer Vista II, DE, LLC (Summer Vista II) and Summer Vista III, DE, LLC (Summer Vista III) is hereby denied due to untimely filing.

In accordance with the 2024 Low Income Housing Tax Credit Qualified Allocation Plan (QAP), it states under its Appeal provision found on page 31, "All appeals shall be resolved in accordance with GHURA's Appeals Process Proceedure, copies of which are maintained at GHURA's office." The Procedure for Appeal to the Board of Commissioners maintained at GHURA's office provides in Section I, Right to Appeal, Paragraph 3, Time Limit for Filing Appeal, "An appeal shall be submitted within ten (10) calendar days after the Executive Director's award for the successful applicant/applicants."

On December 18, 2024, GHURA issued notices to all five applicants for the 2024 Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) competition cycle vying for the \$6,545,000.00 in 2024 LIHTC tax credits available. The notices sent to applicants indicated that the Board of Commissioners (BoC), at its regular meeting on December 10, 2024, had awarded \$1, 793,120.00 of 2024 LIHTC tax credits to the Flores Rosa Project (FRP), and that the remaining \$4, 751,880.00 in 2024 LIHTC tax credits for allocation would be given further consideration by the BoC at a future meeting. The notices further stated that applicants had the right to appeal the award of 2024 LIHTC tax credits to FRP pursuant to the QAP's Section VI regarding appeal. Thus, the deadline for Summer Vista II and Summer Vista III to have submitted their appeal was on or before December 28, 2024.



Furthermore, you stated in the appeal/protest letter, "GHURA is required to comply with the Guam Procurement Law with respect to the LIHTC program. See Ex. 24 Office of the Attorney General [OAG] Legal Memorandum (AG 12-08-50) (12/17/2012)". GHURA respectfully does not come to the same conclusion that this legal memorandum from the OAG requires the LIHTC program to be governed by the Guam Procurement Law.

Assuming, arguendo, that the Guam Procurement Law does govern the LIHTC program, Summer Vista II and Summer Vista III still missed the deadline to submit their procurement protest. The Guam Procurement Law in 5 GCA § 5425(a), Right to Protest, states, "Any actual or prospective bidder, offeror, or contractor who may be aggrieved in connection with the method of source, selection, solicitation or award of a contract, may protest to...the head of a purchasing agency. The protest shall be submitted in writing within fourteen (14) days after such aggrieved person knows or should know of the facts giving rise thereto." As such, Summer Vista II and Summer Vista III missed the protest filing deadline in writing of on or before January 1, 2025 even if the Guam Procurement Law governed the LIHTC program.

Should you have any questions or require further information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Regards,

Elizabeth F. Napoli Executive Director

Cc: Board of Commissioners

Chief Planner In-House Counsel

Exhibit C

CIVILLE & TANG, PLLC

www.civilletang.com

Sender's Direct E-Mail: jtang@civilletang.com

February 3, 2025

BY HAND DELIVERY AND ELECTRONIC MAIL

johninguam@gmail.com

Mr. John Rivera
Chairman
Board of Commissioners
GUAM HOUSING AND URBAN RENEWAL AUTHORITY
117 Bien Venida Avenue
Sinajana, Guam 96910

efnapoli@ghura.org

Ms. Elizabeth F. Napoli Executive Director GUAM HOUSING AND URBAN RENEWAL AUTHORITY 117 Bien Venida Avenue Sinajana, Guam 96910

RE: NOTICE OF APPEAL/PROTEST OF GHURA'S APPEAL PROCESS RELATING TO THE 2024 LIHTC QAP

Dear Mr. Rivera and Ms. Napoli:

Summer Vista II DE, LLC ("Summer Vista II") and Summer Vista III, DE, LLC ("Summer Vista III") hereby give notice that it protests the appeal process pursuant to the 2024 LIHTC QAP ("Protest 2"). Summer Vista II and Summer Vista III are collectively referred to as "Summer Vista". This protest asserts new and independent bases for challenging GHURA's assertion that the GHURA Appeal Rules and Procedure apply to this LIHTC procurement. See Exbibit 1 (Procedure for Appeal to the Board of Commissioners). This protest/appeal is wholly separate from Summer Vista's original appeal/protest dated January 6, 2025 ("Protest #1").

This protest is in complete reservation of Summer Vista's rights with respect to Protest #1 and does not constitute a waiver or withdrawal of any part of Protest #1. As such, this new protest triggers separate obligations upon GHURA.

Audrey Aguen

Mr. John Rivera GHURA Board of Commissioners Ms. Elizabeth F. Napoli February 3, 2025 Page 2

On January 23, 2025, GHURA issued a letter denying Protest #1. See Exhibit 2 (1/23/25 Decision). GHURA's denial of Protest #1 was two-fold.

First, GHURA denied the Protest #1 on timeliness ground based on the 2024 LIHTC QAP, stating that, "[a]ll appeals shall be resolved in accordance with GHURA's Appeals Process [and] Proceedure [sic]...." Id. And, "[t]he Procedure for Appeal to the Board of Commissioners maintained at GHURA's office provides in Section I, Right to Appeal, Paragraph 3, Time Limit for Filing Appeal, [that] '[a]n appeal shall be submitted within ten (10) calendar days after the Executive Director's award for the successful applicant/applicants." Id.

Critically, the document relied upon by GHURA entitled "Procedure for Appeal to the Board of Commissioners" is not the same document referenced at page 31 of the LIHTC QAP or the 1/23/25 Decision -- "GHURA's Appeals and Process Procedure." GHURA has no record of any set of rules and regulations titled "GHURA's Appeals and Process Procedure". See Exhibit 3 (1/23/2025 GHURA Response to Sunshine Act Request). And, it is undisputed that the document titled "Procedure for Appeal to the Board of Commissioners" was not approved by the GHURA Board and was not promulgated in accordance with the Administrative Adjudication Law ("AAL") at 5 GCA §9100 et seq. See id. Therefore, these rules have no legal effect and cannot form the basis for denying Protest #1. See 5 GCA 9303 (captioned "Adoption, Repeal, Recission, or Amendment of Rules and Regulations").

Thus, it is Summer Vista's position that the purported GHURA Appeals Process and Procedure has no legal effect because they do not exist. Furthermore, to the extent GHURA is relying on the Procedure for Appeal to the Board of Commissioner, Summer Vista asserts that these rules have no legal effect (they were not approved by the Board and were not promulgated in accordance with the AAL), because GHURA still failed to comply with the requirement under this set of rules that decisions regarding any appeal be decided by the Board of Commissioners ("Board"). Since the December 10, 2024 Board Meeting when the Board voted to award the 2024 LIHTC to Flores Rosa, there has been no other Board meetings; thus, the Board could not have met to review, discuss and vote on the disposition of Protest #1. Ms. Napoli signed the 1/23/25 Decision denying Protest #1 as the executive director, without Board review and approval. Nowhere in the purported Procedure for Appeal to the Board of Commissioners does it authorize GHURA's Executive Director or any other person to subsume the Board's role in reviewing and deciding appeals.

Based on the foregoing, Summer Vista disputes the purported GHURA Appeals Process and Procedure and the Procedure for Appeal to Board of Commissioners apply to any LIHTC appeal/protest.

Mr. John Rivera GHURA Board of Commissioners Ms. Elizabeth F. Napoli February 3, 2025 Page 3

Second, GHURA also denied Protest #1 on timeliness grounds pursuant to the Guam Procurement Law:

Assuming, arguendo, that the Guam Procurement Law does govern the LIHTC program, Summer Vista II and Summer Vista II still missed the deadline to submit their procurement protest. The Guam Procurement Law in 5 GCA § 5425(a), Right to Protest, states, "Any actual or prospective bidder, offeror, Of. contractor who may be aggrieved in connection with the method of source, selection, solicitation or award of a contract, may protest to ... the head of a purchasing agency. The protest shall be submitted in writing within fourteen (14) days after such aggrieved person knows or should know of the facts giving rise thereto." As such, Summer Vista II and Summer Vista III missed the protest filing deadline in writing of on or before January 1, 2025 even if the Guam Procurement Law governed the LIHTC program.

Id.

Immediately after receiving the 1/23/25 Decision, on January 23, 2025 Summer Vista wrote to GHURA seeking confirmation which appeal rules GHURA is following for Protest #1:

The law requires that GHURA follows appeal rules that are properly promulgated. The failure to do so is the denial of due process. Please identify by close of business, Friday, January 24, 2025, which rules and regulations GHURA is following with respect to this LIHTC Appeal/Protest.

Exhibit 4 (1/23/25 Ltr. to GHURA). GHURA disregarded this request and did not respond. On January 28, 2025, Summer Vista wrote to GHURA following up on the January 23, 2025 letter requesting GHURA identify the appeal rules and regulations GHURA is following for Protest #1. See Exhibit 5 (1/28/25 E-mail to GHURA). It has been ten (10) days since the letter was sent to GHURA and GHURA has and continues to ignore this request.

Summer Vista agrees with GHURA that the Guam Procurement Laws apply to Protest #1 and this protest.

* * * *

GHURA's use of the purported GHURA appeal rules and procedure are unlawful and the denial of Protest #1 on this basis is improper. It is unlawful for a GHURA or any government agency to assert rules that have never been promulgated, that are not published and not readily available to the public, and to delay response to Sunshine Act requests for information in an effort to cut off a parties' appeal rights. Guam's public policy with respect to procurement is clear. Guam's procurement laws serve "to ensure the fair and equitable treatment of all persons

Mr. John Rivera GHURA Board of Commissioners Ms. Elizabeth F. Napoli February 3, 2025 Page 4

who deal with the procurement system in this Territory." 5 GCA §5001(b)(1). The foregoing facts establish that GHURA has not been transparent and has acted in bad faith in its dealings with Summer Vista.

Summer Vista expressly reserves the right to supplement this protest as additional facts are discovered.

Sincerely,

Lovec C.H. Tang

Enclosures: Exhibits 1 - 5

Exhibit D

GUAM HOUSING AND URBAN RENEWAL AUTHORITY

Low Income Housing Tax Credit 2025 Carryover Allocation Agreement Pursuant to Section 42(h)(1)(E) of the Internal Revenue Code

This Carryover Allocation Agreement is made and entered into by and between the Guam Housing and Urban Renewal Authority ("GHURA") and the Owner identified in Section I below as of the date indicated in Section VI below (the "Agreement"). This agreement provides for the allocation of 2024 Low Income Housing Tax Credits pursuant to Section 42(h)(1)(E) of the Code (as defined below) in the amount specified in Section VI below (the "Tax Credits") or the "housing credit dollar amount". The allocation of Tax Credits made in this agreement is subject to (i) all terms and conditions stated herein, including, without limitation, (ii) all terms and conditions of GHURA's Low Income Housing Tax Credit Qualified Allocation Plan (the "Qualified Allocation Plan"), the application and related materials submitted in connection with this allocation of Tax Credits (collectively, the "Applications"), and (iii) all requirements of Section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, including, without limitation, all subsequent tax legislation duly enacted by the Congress of the United States, United States Treasury, Regulations proposed or in effect with respect to the code and revenue procedures, revenue rulings or other published determinations of the Treasury Department or Internal Revenue Service of the United States (collectively, the "Code").

I. OWNER:

Name:

Flora Rosa Gardens, LLC

Attention:

Robert P. Salas II

Address:

202 Hilton Road, PFM #7, Tumon, Guam 96913

Contact:

(671) 688-8454

Email:

rob.salas@pfmguam.com

Federal ID No.:

EIN 66-1083040

II. PROJECT:

Name:

Flores Rosa Gardens

Number:

[Project Number first two letters and 4 digits example: GU-24-00]

Address:

LOT NUMBER 2144-1D-7, Tamuning, Guam

(Refer to Exhibit A for legal description.)

III. BUILDING IDENTIFICATION NUMBERS: GU-24-00001 to GU-24-00003

(Refer to Exhibit B for further details.)

IV. ANTICIPATED PLACED-IN-SERVICE DATE: September 30, 2026

(This project must be Placed-in-Service on or before September 30, 2026.)

V. AGENCY:

Guam Housing and Urban Renewal Authority 117 Bien Venida Avenue Sinajana, Guam 96910

Federal ID No.: 96-0001279

VI. DATE OF ALLOCATION: December 10, 2024

The housing credit dollar amount awarded from the 2024 pool is [\$1,793,120.00]. This housing credit dollar amount is derived from GHURA's evaluation of the Project. GHURA will evaluate the Project a final time in connection with the Project being placed-in-service, provided all documentation required by GHURA in connection with a placed-in-service application, including without limitation a final certified cost information acceptable to GHURA, is submitted no later than **June 30, 2027**. As a result of this final evaluation, GHURA will make a final determination of the housing credit dollar amount to be reflected on the IRS form(s) 8609 issued by GHURA for the Project and the housing credit dollar amount may be less than or equal to but never more than the amount supported by the project's reasonable eligible basis.

VII.OWNER'S TOTAL REASONABLY EXPECTED ADJUSTED ELIGIBLE BASIS: [\$15,325,815.00].

The Owner's actual basis, including land costs as of the date of this Agreement is [\$15,589,553.00]. The percentage of the actual basis as of the date of this Agreement to the total reasonably expected basis in the Project is [101.72%].

To meet Carryover Allocation requirements, the Owner must submit the Cost Certification for the ten percent (10%) test and the deed vesting title to the property in Owner no later than **June 30, 2025**.

An independent Certified Public Accountant's opinion (or other professional determination satisfactory to the Authority) demonstrating compliance with Section 42 of the Code and Internal Revenue Service carryover regulation, that at least ten percent (10%) of the Owner's total reasonably expected basis in the Project has been incurred, together with such other evidence as the Authority shall deem necessary to permit it to make a determination that such requirement has been met.

- VIII. Pursuant to the Housing Assistance Tax Act of 2008 (Division C, Title I, Section 3002 of the Housing and Economic Recovery Act of 2008) the applicable percentage(s) for each building in the Project that is not federally subsidized shall be **nine percent (9%)**.
- IX. GHURA may, in its sole discretion, deem the allocation of Tax Credits made in this Agreement cancelled by mutual consent if there is any failure to comply with all terms, conditions, and requirements of this Agreement, the Applications, the Qualified Allocation Plan, or the Code. Once so cancelled, neither the Owner, the Project, nor any other person or entity shall have any right to claim Tax Credits based on this Agreement or the Application and GHURA shall have no liability therefore.
- X. GHURA will issue an IRS Form 8609 for each building in the Project only if all terms, conditions, and requirements of the Agreement, the Applications, the Qualified Allocation Plan, Reservation Agreement and Code are met. The total of the housing credit dollar

- amounts reflected on the IRS Form 8609 for each building in the Project may be less than or equal to the housing credit dollar amount specified in Section VI above.
- XI. GHURA has made the allocation of Tax Credits reflected in Section VI above and entered into this Agreement solely in reliance on information provided and representations made by or on behalf of the Owner in the Applications. This Agreement and the allocation of Tax Credits reflected herein do not constitute a representation, warranty, guaranty, advise or suggestion by GHURA as to (i) the qualification of the Project, or any building contained within the Project, for Tax Credits, or (ii) the feasibility or viability of the Project and no person or entity in any way now or subsequently with the Project or any other person or entity may rely on this Agreement and the allocation of Tax Credits reflected herein or on any other statements, written or oral of GHURA for such purposes.
- XII. The Owner hereby agrees and acknowledges that the determination made in Section VI above and the sufficiency of evidence supporting the determination may be subject to future revision by GHURA or the Internal Revenue Service.

This Carryover Allocation Agreement was acknowledged, subscribed, and sworn to before me on.

GUAM HOUSING AND URBAN RENEWAL AUTHORITY

Date: 02/03/2025

Executive Director

USA Territory of Guam

County of SINAJANA

Before me KATHUSEN JEAN P. TATTINGTONG Notary Public of the state and county mentioned, personally appeared Elizabeth F. Napoli, with whom I am personally acquainted (or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence), and who upon oath, acknowledged herself to be the Executive Director of the GUAM HOUSING AND URBAN RENEWAL AUTHORITY, the within named bargainor, and that she as such, executed the foregoing instrument for the purpose therein contained, by signing the name of the GUAM HOUSING AND URBAN RENEWAL **AUTHORITY** by herself as **Executive Director**.

Witness my hand and seal, at the office, this 30 day of 700 day of 2025.

Notary Public

My commission expires: MAR 12, 2027

My Commission Expires: MAR. 12, 2027 117 Bien Venida Avenue Sinajana, Guam 96910 This Carryover Allocation Agreement was acknowledged, subscribed, and sworn to before me on.

FLORES ROSA GARDENS, LLC

Ву:

Date: 1/30/7075

ROBERT SALAS II

Managing Member

USA Territory of Guam

County of Minunity

(village)

Before me Vancua P. Ayum, a Notary Public of the state and county mentioned, personally appeared ROBERT SALAS II, with whom I am personally acquainted (or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence), and who upon oath, acknowledged himself to be the Managing Member of FLORES ROSA GARDENS, LLC, the within named bargainor, and that he as such, executed the foregoing instrument for the purpose therein contained, by signing the name of the FLORES ROSA GARDENS, LLC by himself as the Managing Member.

Witness my hand and seal, at the office, this day of January, 2025.

Notary Public

My commission expires:

too 13,2025

VANESSA R. AGUON NOTARY PUBLIC In and for Guam, U.S.A.

In and for Guam, U.S.A. My Commission Expires: FEB. 13, 2025 275G Farenholt Ave PMB 304 Tamuning, GU 96913 R 10 Manual Control of the Control o

EXHIBIT A Legal Description

LOT NUMBER 2144-1D-7 (Subdivision of Lot 2144-1D), MUNICIPALITY OF TAMUNING, (Formerly Dededo), TERRITORY OF GUAM, Estate Number 58815, SUBURBAN, as said Lot is marked and designated on DRAWNING NUMBER MSM-519TD71, as L.M. Check Number 541 - FY 71, as described in that Retracement and Parcelling Map, dated JUNE 04, 1971 and recorded JUNE 15, 1951 at the Records Division, Department of Land Management, Government of Guam, under Document Number 102857.

AREA: 4,046.81 +/- SQUARE METERS

EXHIBIT BBuilding Identification Numbers (BIN) Information

| BIN | Buildin g Type | Expected Placed-in-Service Date | Reasonably Anticipated Adjusted Eligible Basis | Applicabl e Fraction | Estimated Qualified Basis | Maximum Credit Percentage | Maximum Credit Allocated |
|---|-------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| GU-24-00001 GU-24-00002 GU-24-00003 | New | 08/30/2026 | \$15,325,815 | 100% | \$19,923,560 | 9% | ¢1.702.120 |
| G0-24-00003 | ivew | 08/30/2020 | \$15,525,615 | 100% | \$19,923,560 | 976 | \$1,793,120 |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | SANCE AND DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | Totals | \$15,325,815 | | \$19,923,560 | | \$1,793,120 |